# TWISTED TORI AND THE CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES OF A SELF-EQUIVALENCE 

By Donald W. Kahn

In memory of José Adem.
The group of homotopy classes of self-equivalences from a space to itself has been studied for many years (see bibliography in [7]). It is a non-abelian invariant of spaces, which has proved to be very difficult to calculate. For simply-connected (or nilpotent) finite complexes it is known [9] to be finitely presented, although rarely finite. If the space is not simply- connected, it may be infinitely generated (see [2] or [5]).

The focus of this survey/research paper is somewhat different from earlier work. We wish to study a single homotopy equivalence from a space to itself, and to develop cohomology invariants which (it is hoped) might offer practical solutions to some key questions such as:
(a) Given a self-equivalence $f$ representing a class of finite order in $\mathcal{E}(X)$, the group of homotopy classes of self-equivalences of $X$, can we find a homotopy equivalent space $Y$ and an equivalent (conjugate) homeomorphism $g$ on $Y$ which has the same order as $f$. If we impose no conditions on $Y$, the late G. Cooke [1] has given a theoretical solution to this problem.
(b) What are the relations between the different subgroups of $\mathcal{E}(X)$ which are fixed by the usual functors? A. Legrand has called attention to the question of the relationship between these self-equivalences fixed by homology, and these fixed by homotopy groups (see problems in [7]).
The key space for the study of these characteristic classes is the twistedtorus of the self-equivalence. This is $T_{f}=X \times I / \sim$, where $(x, 1) \sim(f(x), 0)$. If $f$ is the identity this is just $X \times S^{1}$. Our first section is devoted. to $T_{f}$. We give various conditions for this to be a fibration over $S^{1}$, and calculate its homology in the basic cases. This space clearly deserves more study in its own right.

The second section studies characteristic classes which come from $S^{1}$ actions on $T_{f}$. These work best in the case where the self-equivalence is a homeomorphism of finite order. Here we have classes

$$
w_{i}(f) \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{i-1}\left(T_{f}\right), H_{i}\left(T_{f}\right)\right)
$$

This latter group is a subgroup of $H^{i-1}\left(T_{f} ; H_{i}\left(T_{f}\right)\right)$ (not naturally). For a general self-equivalence, we construct

$$
\chi(f) \subseteq H^{2}\left(T_{f}\right)
$$

We develop the basic properties of these classes and give some examples.
The third section looks at open questions and connections with other areas, such as cyclic cohomology.

We assume that all spaces are path connected and all maps and homotopies are continuous. In those cases, where we work in the pointed category, we shall mention it explicitly.

## §1

Given a space $X$ and a homotopy self-equivalence $f$, we write

$$
T_{f}=X \times I /(x, 1) \sim(f(x), 0)
$$

as the twisted torus of $f$. There are many easy examples.
In geneal, $T_{f}$ is endowed with a projection $\pi: T_{f} \rightarrow S^{1}$ given by $\pi(x, t)=$ $\{t\}$, where $\{t\}$ is the element in $S^{1}$, viewed as the quotient of $I=[0,1]$ where $0 \sim 1$. In general, this can be quite complicated. For example, $\pi$ will usually not be a fibration, even in the sense of Serre. For example, let $f: I \rightarrow I$ be given by $f(t)=0$. Then the twisted torus $T_{f}$ looks like


Given a map $\alpha: p t \rightarrow T_{f}$ by $\alpha(p t)=A$, and a homotopy of $\pi \cdot \alpha$ which moves linearly away from $\pi(A)$ (in the negative direction), we see at once that $\pi: T_{f} \rightarrow S^{1}$ cannot have the covering homotopy property.

Nevertheless, the twisted torus has many agreeable properties under suitable hypotheses.

Proposition (1.1). Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ and $T_{f}$ be as above.

1) Iff is a homeomorphism, $T_{f}$ is a locally trivial fibration, with fibre $X$, over $S^{1}$. If $G \subseteq H o m e o(X)$ is a subgroup containing $f$ then $\pi$ is a fibre bundle with fibre $X$ and group $G$.
2) Iff is a homeomorphism, $T_{f}$ is foliated with 1-dimensional leaves given by the action of $R$ on $T_{f}$. (Here we assme $X$ is a manifold.)
3) Iff is a homeomorphism of finite order there is an $S^{1}$ action which is compatible via the map $\pi$, with the $S^{1}$ action on $S^{1}$ given by $\left(e^{i \theta}, e^{i \tau}\right) \mapsto\left(e^{i(\theta+n \tau)}\right)$, where $n$ is the order of $f$.

Proof. 1) Given a small open interval $I$ about $0 \sim 1, \pi^{-1}(I) \equiv(-e, 0] \times X \cup$ $[0, e) \times X$ where $0 \times X$ is identified with $0 \times X$ by $(0, x) \sim(0, f(x))$. This is clearly homeomorphic to $(-e, e) \times X$.
2) The action of $\mathbf{R}$ on $T_{f}$ is as follows: take the standard action of $\mathbf{R}$ on $S^{1}$ by $\left.\left(e^{i \theta}, t\right) \mapsto e^{i(\theta+t)}\right)$. Lift this to $T_{f}$ locally, since $\pi$ is locally trivial with a single identification, via the homeomorphism $f$, as one crosses $0 \sim 1$.
3) If $f$ has order $n$, it's easy to check that the action of $n$ or any multiple, via 2) above, is the identity. Thus the action of 2) passes to quotient, giving an action of

$$
\mathrm{R} / n Z \equiv S^{1}
$$

The relation between a homeomorphism and a homotopy equivalence has been examined by the late G. Cooke [7]. Specifically, Cooke asked if given a homotopy equivalence $f: X \rightarrow X$, if there is a space $Y$, and a homotopy equivalence $g: X \rightarrow Y$ so that there is a homeomorphism $\bar{f}: Y \rightarrow Y$ and a homotopy commutative diagram


In fact, Cooke addressed the more general question: given a group $G$ and a homomorphism $\alpha: G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(X)$, the group of homotopy classes of homotopy equivalences, can $X$ be replaced by a homotopy equivalent $Y$ so that the equivalent map $\tilde{\alpha}: G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(Y)$ actually factors through a homomorphism of $G$ to the group of homeomorphisms of $Y$. He expressed the solution in terms of the lifting problem

where $B_{G_{( }(X)}$ is the classifying space for the associative $H$ - space of all selfhomotopy equivalences. S. Smith [8] has now made considerable progress in analyzing the rational homotopy of $G(X), B_{G_{(X)}}$, etc.

Specializing to the case of a single homotopy equivalence $f$, which generates, of course, a cyclic subgroup of $\mathcal{E}(X)$ we can always find an equivalent $Y$ on which an equivalent $\bar{f}$ acts as homeomorphism. This can be shown from Cooke's result, using the fact that $K(Z, 1)=S^{1}$, or more directly by
constructing an infinite telescope $L=U X \times I$, identifying .end points by $(x, 0) \sim(f(x), 1)$, etc. The telescope has the homotopy-type of $X$ and the $\operatorname{map} \bar{f}$ is the shift clearly a homeomorphism of infinite order.

However, if one seeks an equivalent homeomorphism $\bar{f}$ which has precisely the same order as the class of $f,\{f\} \in \mathcal{E}(X)$, when this is in fact finite, then there are obstructions to finding such a homeomorphisms $\bar{f}$. In fact, one may find a counter-example in case $\{f\}$ has order 2, in Cooke's paper [1].

The infinite telescope or infinite mapping cylinder

$$
L_{f}=U X \times I / \sim
$$

where $(x, n) \sim(f(x), n+1)$, serves two purposes here. First, it is a space generally homotopically equivalent to $X$, on which a single homotopy equivalence may be realized via a shift map. (However, this homotopy equivalence will have infinite order under composition, while the class of the original equivalence could have finite order.) The shift map is clearly a homeomorphism. Secondly, the quotient of $L_{f}$ by the shift map is $T_{f}$. This will permit a definition of our $\chi(f)$ in full generality.

Now, we wish to study the homology (and cohomology) of a twisted torus. This clearly depends on the effect of $f$ on homology. As the examples of the torus and Klein bottle show, even a simple change of sign will give significant changes in results. We will work this out in the case where the coefficients are in a field using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence as the method.

Proposition (1.2). Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a homotopy equivalence of a path connected space, $H_{i}(X), i>0$, the homology with coefficients in a field. Then

$$
H_{i}\left(T_{f}\right)=\left\{\frac{H_{i}(X) \oplus H_{i}(X)}{\operatorname{Im}\binom{11}{1 f}_{i *}}\right\} \oplus \operatorname{Ker}\binom{11}{1 f}_{(i-1) *}
$$

where

$$
\binom{11}{1 f}_{i *}: H_{i}(X) \oplus H_{i}(X) \rightarrow H_{i}(X) \oplus H_{i}(X)
$$

is the endomorphism given as the indicated matrix

$$
\binom{11}{1 f}_{i *}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & f_{* i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $f_{* i}: H_{i}(X) \rightarrow H_{i}(X)$ induced by $f$.
Proof. Write $T_{f}=A \cup B$ where $A=X \times I, B=X \times I$ and we identify $A$ to $B$ via $(x, 0) \sim(x, 0),(x, 1) \sim(f(x), 1)$. Of course, $A, B$, and $X$ all have the same homotopy-type.

It is easy to see that we may assume that $X \cup X=A \cap B$ (disjoint) and $T_{f}=A \cup B$. Consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ldots & \rightarrow H_{i}(A \cap B) \rightarrow H_{i}(A) \oplus H_{i}(B) \rightarrow H_{i}(A \cup B) \rightarrow \\
& \rightarrow H_{i-1}(A \cap B) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(A) \oplus H_{i-1}(B) \rightarrow \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

By our earlier remarks, we can rewrite this as

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\ldots \rightarrow H_{i}(X) \oplus H_{i}(X) \xrightarrow{\phi_{* i}} H_{i}(X) \oplus H_{i}(X) \rightarrow H_{i}\left(T_{f}\right) \longrightarrow \\
\longrightarrow H_{i-1}(X) \oplus H_{i-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\phi_{* i-1}} H_{i-1}(X) \oplus H_{i-1}(X)
\end{gathered}
$$

By exactness (as our coefficients lie in a field),

$$
H_{i}\left(T_{f}\right) \approx \frac{H_{i}(X) \oplus H_{i}(X)}{\operatorname{Im} \phi_{* i}} \oplus \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{*(i-1)}
$$

It remains to identify the image and kernel of $\phi_{*}$. Because of the identifications of $A$ and $B$, to make $T_{f}$, the inclusion of the first summand $H_{i}(X)$ in $H_{i}(A)=H_{i}(X)$ and $H_{i}(B)=H_{i}(X)$ is the identity. The second summand maps according to the identity to $H_{i}(A)$ and $f_{*}$ to $H_{i}(B)$, as desired. The description of $\phi_{*}$ follows immediately.

Remark. It is easy to express this result in terms of dimension. We also note that, when the coefficients lie in a field, $H_{*}\left(T_{f}\right)$ depends entirely on $H_{*}(X)$ and $f_{*}$ and not on any finer information about the self-equivalence. The determination of $\pi_{n}\left(T_{f}\right), n \geq 0$, is more complicated. However, we have a basic, partial result.

Proposition (1:3). Let $X$ be path connected with base point $x_{o}, f: X \rightarrow X$ a self-equivalence, and $T_{f}$ as above; $\bar{x}_{o}=\left(x_{0}, 0\right) \in T_{f}$ is chosen as the base point. Then there is a group $G$ and a semi-direct product decomposition

$$
\pi_{1}\left(T_{f}, \bar{x}_{o}\right) \approx G \bowtie Z
$$

where $Z$ is the integers.
Proof. There is the obvious quotient map, which we now write

$$
\rho: T_{f} \rightarrow S^{1}
$$

$\rho\left(\bar{x}_{o}\right)=y_{o}$. We simply need to show that

$$
\rho_{\#}: \pi_{1}\left(T_{f}, \bar{x}_{o}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(S^{1}, y_{o}\right)=Z
$$

is a split surjection.
Choose a path $\alpha: I \rightarrow X \subseteq T_{f}$ thought of as $X \equiv\{(x, 0)\}$, from $f\left(x_{0}\right)$ to $x_{0}$. Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow T_{f}$ be the path $\bar{\gamma}(t)=\left(x_{o}, t\right)$.

The composition path $\gamma * \alpha: I \rightarrow T_{f}$ begins and ends at $x_{o}$. Define a homomorphism

$$
\sigma: \pi_{1}\left(S^{1}, y_{o}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(T_{f}, \bar{x}_{o}\right) \text { by } \sigma(1)=\{\gamma * \alpha\}
$$

We need to check that $\rho_{\#} \cdot \sigma=I d$. But $\rho_{\#} \cdot \sigma$ on the identity map is represented by

$$
g(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 t, 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\
y_{o}, \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $S^{1}$ is parameterized to have circumference 1 . It is easy to verify that this is homotopic to the identity.

We need one more result for the definition of $\chi(f)$.
PROPOSITION (1.4). Iff is a properly discontinuous homeomorphism (see [5]), and $X / f$ is the quotient by the action of $f$, then there is a fibration $S^{1} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X / f$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is the quotient of $T_{f}$ by the map which identifies each segment $(x, t)(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ with $(f(x), t)(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ and $(x, 0)$ with $(f(x), 0)$.

Proof. Choose an open neighborhood of $x$, say $U$, with all the $f^{n}(U)$ disjoint. The $(x, t), x \in U$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$, yields a trivial $S^{1}$ fibration over $\{U\} \subseteq X / f$.
§2
We now come to the definition and basic properties of the characteristic classes of a homotopy self-equivalence. We begin with the classes $w_{i}(f)$, which are defined when $f$ is a homeomorphism $f: X \rightarrow X$ of finite order.

Definition (1). Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a homeomorphism of finite order, i.e. $f^{n}=i d$ for some $n \geq 1$. Let $T_{f}$ be the twisted torus and let

$$
\mu: T_{f} \times S^{1} \rightarrow T_{f}
$$

be the action given in Proposition 1.1, part 3 (which covers the "standard" map of $S^{1}$ of the same degree as the order of $f$ ). Because the homology of $S^{1}$ is so simple. $H_{k-1}\left(T_{f}\right) \approx H_{k-1}\left(T_{f}\right) \otimes H_{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ is a summand of $H_{k}\left(T_{f} \times S^{1}\right)$. The composition

$$
H_{k-1}\left(T_{f}\right) \subseteq H_{k}\left(T_{f} \times S^{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\mu_{*}} H_{k}\left(T_{f}\right)
$$

defines the class

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{k}(f) & \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{k-1}\left(T_{f}\right) ; H_{k}\left(T_{f}\right)\right) \\
& \subseteq H^{k-1}\left(T_{f} ; H_{k}\left(T_{f}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inclusion is not natural, in general.

To define our second type of charateristic class, let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a homotopyequivalence. By earlier remarks, we may replace $f$ by an equivalent shift homeomorphism $\bar{f}$ of the telescope $L_{f} . \bar{f}$ acts in a properly discontinuous fashion on $L_{f}$. Proposition 1.4 yields an $S^{1}$ fibration $S^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow L_{f} / \bar{f}$. It is clear that the quotient space $L_{f} / \bar{f}$ is homeomorphic to $T_{f}$.

Definition (2). The "characteristic class" $\chi(f)$ is defined as the image of a generator of $H^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ under the transgression $\tau$, which is the correspondence

$$
H^{1}\left(S^{1}\right) \xrightarrow{d^{*}} H^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}, S^{1}\right) \stackrel{p^{*}}{\rightleftarrows} H^{2}\left(T_{f}, *\right) \approx H^{2}\left(T_{f}\right) .
$$

(To make this precise and well-defined, one might take $Z / 2$ coefficients so that $\pi_{1}\left(T_{f}\right)$ acts trivially on the cohomology of the fibre; or alternatively consider, instead of $\tau$ above, the operator $d_{2}^{0,1}$ in the cohomology Serre spectral sequence with local coefficients).

We now look into some properties of $w_{i}(f)$
Proposition (2.1).
A. Let $f_{1}, f_{2}: X \rightarrow X$ be conjugate homeomorphism of finite order. That is to say, we have a homeomorphism $g$ so that $f_{2}=g^{-1} \cdot f_{1} g$. Then $w_{i}\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $w_{i}\left(f_{2}\right)$ agree up to automorphism of the domain and range.
B. Let $f=I d: X \rightarrow X$. Let $x \in H_{i-1}\left(T_{f}\right), i>0$, lie in the image of the map induced by the inclusion $X \subseteq T_{f}(x \mapsto(x, 0))$. Here $T_{f} \equiv X \times S^{1}$. Then $w_{i}(f)(x)=x \otimes \iota$, where $\iota \in H_{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ is a generator. Furthermore, $w_{i}(f)(y \otimes \iota)=0$ where $y \in H_{i-2}(x)$.
C. Let $f$ be a homeomorphism of finite order, $g=f^{m}$. Let $u \in H_{i-1}(X), i$ : $X \subseteq T_{f}$. Then $\rho_{*} w_{i}(f)\left(i_{*}(x)\right)=m w_{i}(g)\left(i_{*}(x)\right)$ where $\rho$ is the natural map $T_{f} \rightarrow T_{g}$.
Proof: A. Given conjugate homeomorphisms, we have a commutative diagram


This situation defines a map $\tilde{h}: T_{f_{1}} \longrightarrow T_{f_{2}}$ by $\tilde{h}(x, t)=(h(x), t), 0 \leq t<1$ and $\tilde{h}(x, 1)=\tilde{h}\left(f_{1}(x), 0\right)=\left(h f_{1}(x), 0\right)=\left(f_{2} h(x), 0\right)=(h(x), 1)$.

Clearly, $\tilde{h}$ is compatible with the $S^{1}$ action $\mu: T_{f_{1}} \times S^{1} \rightarrow T_{f_{1}}$. The claim in part A follows immediately.
B. If $f=I d, T_{f}=X \times S^{1}$ and the map

$$
\mu: T_{f} \times S^{1} \rightarrow T_{f}
$$

or

$$
X \times S^{1} \times S^{1} \rightarrow X \times S^{1}
$$

identifies with the map $1_{x} \times \bar{\mu}$, where $\bar{\mu}$ is the multiplication map in $S^{1}$.
The first claim is obvious. For the second, suppose $y \otimes \iota \in H_{k-1}\left(T_{f}\right)=$ $H_{k-1}\left(X \times S^{1}\right)$. Then

$$
\mu_{*}(y \otimes \iota \otimes \iota)=y \otimes \bar{\mu}_{*}(\iota \otimes \iota)=0
$$

because $\bar{\mu}_{*}(\iota \otimes \iota) \in H_{2}\left(S^{1}\right)=0$.
C. To prove C, we observe that there is a map $\rho: T_{f} \rightarrow T_{g} \equiv T_{f} m$ which covers - via the projection maps $T_{f} \rightarrow S^{1}$ - the standard map which maps $S^{1}$ around itself $m$ times, which we write $\rho_{m}$. This yields a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
T_{f} & \times S^{1} \xrightarrow{\mu_{f}} & T_{f} \\
\rho \times \rho_{m} & \downarrow & \downarrow \rho \\
T_{g} & \times S^{1} \xrightarrow{\mu_{g}} & T_{g}
\end{array}
$$

Calculating on homology,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{*} \mu_{f *}\left(i_{*}(x) \otimes \iota\right) & =\mu_{\boldsymbol{g} *}\left(\rho \times \rho_{m}\right) *\left(i_{*}(x) \otimes \iota\right) \\
& =\mu_{g *}\left(\left(\rho_{*} i_{*}(x)\right) \otimes \rho_{*}(\iota)\right)=\mu_{g *}\left(i_{*}(x) \otimes m \cdot \iota\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we have used the fact that $\rho$ leaves the time zero values fixed, and $\rho_{m}$ is the map described above.

We get finally $m \cdot \mu_{g *}\left(i_{*}(x) \otimes \iota\right)=m w_{i}(g)\left(i_{*}(x)\right)$. But this is $\rho_{*} w_{i}(f)\left(i_{*}(x)\right)$
We now look at some examples of these characteristic classes. To begin, with the most simple cases, let $X=S^{1}$. If $f=I d$, then part B of the previous proposition gives us the result. Note $T_{f}$ is the standard torus.

$$
w_{1}(f)(1)=I d: Z \rightarrow Z \subseteq H_{1}\left(T_{f}\right)
$$

if $x \in H_{1}\left(S^{1}\right), w_{2}(f)\left(i_{*}(x)\right)=x \otimes \iota$, where $i: S^{1} \subseteq T_{f}$;

$$
w_{2}(f)(1 \otimes \iota)=0
$$

If $j>2 \quad w_{j}(f) \equiv 0$.
On the other hand, if $f: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$ is the standard map of degree -1 then $T_{f}$ is the Klein bottle. $w_{1}(f)$ is still the inclusion. But $T_{f}$ is non-orientable, $H_{2}\left(T_{f}\right)=0$. Thus, in this case $w_{j}(f)=0$ for all $j>1$. Note that $w_{2}(f)$ is like the identity for $f=I d$, and like 0 for its negative, on the image of $i_{*}$.

Finally, let us look at the case of the shift homeomorphism

$$
\sigma: X \times \ldots \times X \rightarrow X \times \ldots \times X
$$

$m$ factors, defined by $\sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\left(x_{m_{1}}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m-1}\right)$; assume that $X$ is connected and has some no zero homology, in a positive dimension. $\sigma$ is clearly a homeomorphism of order $m$. The action $\mu_{\sigma}: T_{\sigma} \times S^{1} \rightarrow T_{\sigma}$ covers
the "standard" map of degree $m$. In general, there are many possible classes of dimension $i-1$ in the homology of $T_{\sigma}$, yielding different values under the homomorphism

$$
w_{i}(\sigma): H_{i-1}\left(T_{\sigma}\right) \rightarrow H_{i}\left(T_{\sigma}\right)
$$

suppose $u \in H_{i-1}\left(T_{\sigma}\right)$ is in the image of the inclusion $j: X \times \ldots \times X \rightarrow T_{\sigma}$ at time 0 and $u=j(v)$ with $v$ invariant under $\sigma_{*}$. Then $w_{i}(\sigma)(u)$ is easily calculated to be a cross product of $u$ and $m \cdot i$, where $i$ is the 1 -dimensional homology class represented by a single circle through a base point $* x \ldots \times * \in$ $X \times \ldots \times X$.

## §3

One might criticize the present paper as raising more questions than it solves. Certainly, there is a need to study the characteristic classes $w_{i}(f)$ in a great many examples, especially some examples which involve homotopy self-equivalences which (unlike the above examples) are themselves poorly understood. Only then will we really know the value of the $w_{i}(f)$.

The twisted torus $T_{f}$ is clearly of basic importance in itself, and it has figured in (unpublished) work of Andre Legrand. Endowed with an $S^{1}$ action, in case $f$ is a homeomorphism of finite order, there are many possible domains of study. An example which we have not pursued but probably merits study, is to look at $T_{f}$ as a fibre bundle over $S^{1}$, with fibre $X$ and group a finite subgroup of the group of homeomorphisms of $X$. Another thought would be to study the "equivariant cohomology" of $X$ (or the related idea of the homotopy fixed points). Let $E_{S^{1}}$ be the total space of the universal $S^{1}$-b undle and form the product over $S^{1}$

$$
E_{S^{1}} \times_{S^{1}} T_{f}
$$

The ( $c o$ )homology of this space is clearly of importance in understanding $f$.
Goodwillie [3] has interpreted the cohomology of the full, free loop space in terms of cyclic cohomology and we can only expect that such an interpretation of $H^{*}\left(T_{f}\right)$ is possible. See also the work of J.D.S. Jones [4].

Finally, we come to the more elusive "class" $\chi(f) \subseteq H^{2}\left(T_{f}\right)$. While this makes sense for any homotopy equivalence $f$, rather than the restrictive homomorphisms of finite order, it seems very difficult to control. One problem concerns the fibre space $S^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow T_{f}$. Our task would be easy, if this was known to be a principle $S O$ (2) bundle.

Unfortunately, there exist bundles of this sort which are far from principal (for example, consider a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow G \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ where $A$ is a group and the sequence splits, that is $G$ is a semi-direct product. This easily yields a fibre space $S^{1} \rightarrow K(G, 1) \rightarrow K(A, 1)$. If it were principal, it would be a cartesian product, because the splitting would give a section to the bundle. This would force $G \cong Z \times A$ ). To understand the class $\chi(f)$, we need better understand the bundle $S^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow T_{f}$.

Finally, we ask whether the classes $w_{i}(f)$ could perhaps be defined for more general homotopy-equivalences $f$.
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