## AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF AN IDENTITY OF GOULD'S

BY DAVID BLACKWELL\* AND LESTER DUBINS<sup>†</sup>

This note offers an elementary proof of a combinatorial identity of Gould (Formula 4 in [4]).

José Adem called this identity and its literature to our attention and pointed out to us that it had not yet received a purely finitistic proof. We are indebted to him and to Samuel Gitler for several stimulating conversations.

For historical remarks see [5]; for further developments see [6]; and for an application to the "Adem Relations" see [2] and [1].

Our proof of Gould's identity uses the circular symmetry technique that Dvoretzky and Motzkin introduced in their elegant treatment of the "ballotbox problem" [3].

Throughout this note,  $\delta$ ,  $\beta$ , and n are positive integers.

Let  $\rho$  be a cyclic permutation onto itself of a set E, whose order is the number of elements of E; and let g be a nonnegative, integer-valued function defined on E. For any  $e \in E$  such that, for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

(1) 
$$\sum_{j=0}^{i\beta-1} g(\rho^j e) < i,$$

e is winning for  $E, g, \beta, n, \rho$ .

THEOREM 1. Suppose that E has  $\delta + \beta n$  elements. Then, for each g such that

(2) 
$$\sum_{e \in \mathbb{Z}} g(e) = n,$$

there are precisely  $\delta$  winning e.

*Proof.* As is not difficult to verify, there must exist an  $e \in E$  and an integer  $k \geq 1$  such that  $g(\rho^{i}e) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k\beta - 1$  and  $g(\rho^{k\beta}e) = n$ . Plainly, none of the  $k\beta$  elements  $\rho e, \dots, \rho^{k\beta}e$  are winning for  $E, g, \beta, n, \rho$ . Consider  $E', g', \beta', n', \rho'$ , where the following obtain: E' is E with the  $k\beta$  elements  $\rho e, \dots, \rho^{k\beta}e$  deleted; g' is g restricted to  $E'; \beta' = \beta$  and  $n' = n - k; \rho' = \rho$  restricted to E', except that  $\rho'(e) = \rho^{k\beta+1}(e)$ . As is easily verified an element of E' is winning for  $E, g, \beta, n \rho$  if and only if it is winning for  $E', g', \beta', n', \rho'$ . The proof is completed by induction on n.

A set S is special for an (n + 1)-tuple of disjoint sets  $\{B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ , say  $\mathfrak{B}$  for short, if these three conditions are satisfied:

(i)  $S \subset B_0 \cup \cdots \cup B_n$ ;

(ii) S contains precisely n elements;

\* Supported in part by the U.S. Army Research Office (Durham), Grant DA-31-124-ARO-D-548.

† Supported in part by the National Science Foundation, Grant GP-5059, and by the Information Systems Branch of the Office of Naval Research under Contract Nonr-222 (53). (iii) for all  $i, 0 \le i \le n$ , the intersection of S with the union of the  $B_j$ 's for  $0 \le j \le i$  contains at least i + 1 elements.

Let  $N(\mathfrak{B})$  be the number of special sets S.

COROLLARY 1. If  $B_0$  contains  $\delta$  elements and, for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n, B_i$  contains  $\beta$  elements, then

(3) 
$$N(\mathfrak{G}) = \binom{\delta + n\beta}{n} \frac{\delta}{\delta + n\beta}.$$

For one may compute the number M of pairs (j, S),  $0 \le j \le n\beta + \delta$ ,  $S \subset E = B_0 \cup \cdots \cup B_n$ , for which  $\Phi(j, S) = \rho^j S$  is special, where  $\rho$  is a suitable cyclic permutation of E, in two ways:

(4) 
$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \delta + n\beta \\ n \end{pmatrix} \delta,$$

as flows from Theorem 1 by letting g range over the indicator (characteristic) functions of subsets of E with n elements; and

(5) 
$$M = (\delta + n\beta)N(\mathfrak{B}),$$

since  $\Phi$  assumes each of its values (special or not) for exactly ( $\delta + n\beta$ ) pairs. Q.E.D.

Let  $A_0, \dots, A_n$  be a sequence of n + 1 sets. For each set S with fewer than n + 1 elements, define a triple T(S) = (k, S', S'') thus: Denoting the intersection of S with the union of the j + 1 sets  $A_0, \dots, A_j$ , by  $S_j$ , let k be the first j such that  $S_j$  contains fewer than j + 1 elements; let S' be  $S_k$ ; and let S'' be S - S'.

LEMMA 1. If  $B_0, \dots, B_n$  are pairwise disjoint, and  $A_i \subset B_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , then, as S ranges over those sets that are special for  $(B_0, \dots, B_n)$ , T defines a one-one correspondence onto the set of all triples (k, S', S'') such that  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , S' is special for  $A_0, \dots, A_k$  and S'' is special for  $C_0^k, \dots, C_{n-k}^k$ , where

(6) 
$$C_0^k = (B_0 \cup \cdots \cup B_k) - (A_0 \cup \cdots \cup A_k)$$

and

(7) 
$$C_j^k = B_{j+k}, \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le j \le n-k.$$

GOULD'S IDENTITY. Using Gould's notation,

(8) 
$$A_k(\alpha,\beta) = \binom{\alpha+\beta k}{k} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta k}$$

his result is this. For all real numbers  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , and for all positive integers n,

(9) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_k(\alpha, \beta) A_{n-k}(\gamma, \beta) = A_n(\alpha + \gamma, \beta).$$

Proof of Gould's Identity. Suppose first that  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  are positive integers. Let  $B_0, \dots, B_n$  be n + 1 disjoint sets where  $B_0$  contains  $\alpha + \gamma$  elements and each  $B_i$  for  $i \ge 1$  contains  $\beta$  elements. Then, according to Corollary 1, the right side of (9) is the number of sets that are special for  $(B_0, \dots, B_n)$ . On the other hand, if  $A_0$  is a subset of  $B_0$  with  $\alpha$  elements and  $A_i = B_i$ , for  $i \ge 1$ , then Lemma 1, together with Corollary 1, implies that the left side of (9) also represents the number of sets special for  $(B_0, \dots, B_n)$ . So (9) holds whenever  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  are positive integers. Since the left side of (9) is a polynomial in  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$ , as is the right side, (9) must hold for all real  $\alpha, \beta$ , and  $\gamma$ .

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

## References

- JOSÉ ADEM, "The relations on Steenrod powers of cohomology classes", Algebraic geometry and topology, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1957, 192-238.
- [2] L. CARLITZ, Some congruences involving sums of binomial coefficients, Duke Math. J.. 27 (1960), 77-79.
- [3] A. DVORETZKY and T. MOTZKIN, A problem of arrangements, Duke Math. J., 14 (1947), 305-13.
- [4] H. W. GOULD, Some generalizations of Vandermonde's convolution, Amer. Math. Monthly, 63 (1956) 84-91.
- [5] ——, Final analysis of Vandermonde's convolution, Amer. Math. Monthly, 64 (1957), 409-15.
- [6] ——, Generalization of a theorem of Jensen concerning convolutions, Duke Math. J., 27 (1960), 71–76.