## **ON THE COMMUTATIVITY OF RINGS**

## PoR RoLANDO E. PEINADO

•Aring is said to be a P-ancestral ring if all proper non-zero subrings of *R* have property *P.* In a previous paper [3] we study the structure of several P-ancestral rings. For Ran arbitrary ring and *n* a fixed element of *Z,* (now and throughout this note *Z* will denote the ring of rational integers) the subring  $nR = \{ nr : r \in R\}$ is called an integral multiple of the ring *R. nR* is called S-maximal if *n* is the smallest positive integer for which  $nR \subseteq S$ , S a subring of R.

F. Szász in [4] considered  $P'$ -ancestral rings, where if S is a proper non-zero subring of  $R, P' : S = nR$  for some *n* in Z.

His main result is:  $R$  is a  $P'$ -ancestral ring if and only if the additive group of  $R$ *is a cyclic group.* 

In this note we consider the condition *P,* where if *Sis* a proper non-zero subring of *R*,  $P: 0 \neq nR \subseteq S$  for *nR S*-maximal.

Clearly every *P'* -ancestral ring is a P-ancestral ring. Our main result is: *If R is a P-ancestral ring, then R is a commutative ring.* The converse of this result is obviously not true, since for any *n* in *Z, nR* is an ideal of *R.* It is sufficient to consider an arbitrary field, of characteristic zero, to observe that there exist commutative rings that are not P-ancestral rings.

It is easy to show that if  $R$  is a  $P$ -ancestral ring then:

(i) *Any proper non-zero subring of Risa P-ancestral ring.* 

(ii) *Every non-zero homomorphic image of R is a P-ancestral ring.* 

By considering the usual adjunction of a unit to a ring without unit, it can be observed that in considering P-ancestral rings it is enough to consider rings with unit. Henceforth all rings will be assumed to have a unit element that we write 1.

LEMMA 1. *Let R be a P-ancestral ring such that its additive group is a torsion-free group. Then R is a commutative ring:* 

*Proof:* The center *C* of *R* is a subring of *R.*  $C \neq 0$  since  $1 \in C$ . Since *R* is a P-ancestral ring, then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $nR \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Thus for all  $a, b$  in R *na, nb* belongs to *C* and we have

$$
n^2ab = na \cdot nb = nb \cdot na = n^2ba
$$

Therefore

$$
n^2(ab-ba) = 0
$$

But *R* is torsion-free. Hence  $ab = ba$  for all *a*, *b* in *R*, and *R* is a commutative ring.

Now consider a ring whose additive structure is a torsion group. The q-compoents of *R,* say *Rq,* are not only subgroups of *R* but also ideals of *R, (R* as a ring). Consequently  $R$  is ring-direct sum of  $q$ -rings, where a  $q$ -ring is a ring whose additive group is a  $q$ -group. Thus in considering  $P$ -ancestral rings whose additive structure is a torsion group, it is enough to examine P-ancestral rings that are  $q$ -rings.

LEMMA 2. *LetR be a P-ancestral ring, which is a q-ring, then R is a commutative ring.* 

*Proof:* Let  $R_q$  be a P-ancestral ring. Then every proper subgroups of  $R_q$  contains a multiple *nR* of *Rq* and *n* is the smallest positive integer for which  $nR \subseteq S$ . This fact together with exercise 19 of [2, page 19], enables us to show that  $R_q$  is bounded, otherwise there exists a homomorphism of  $R_q$  onto  $Z(p^{\infty})$ , then by (i) and (ii) above, every subgroup of  $Z(p^{\infty})$  would contain a non-zerointegral multiple of  $Z(p^{\infty})$ . But the subgroup of  $Z(p^{\infty})$  generated by  $1/p$ , p a prime number in *Z*, does not contain a multiple of  $Z(p^{\infty})$ .

Now let us say that  $q^k R_q = 0$  and  $q^{k-1} R_q \neq 0$ . Then  $q^R q$ ,  $q^2 R_q$ ,  $\cdots$   $q^{k-1} R_q$  are proper subrings of  $R_q$  which are also ideals of  $R_q$ . Consider the quotient rings  $R_q^{i} = (q^{i}R_q/q^{i+1}R_q) i = 1 \cdots r - 1$ . By (ii)  $R_q^{i}$  is also a *P*-ancestral ring. Let *S* be a proper non-zero subring of  $R_q^i$  which is also an ideal of  $R_q^i$  then there exists *n* in *Z* such that *nS* is *S*-maximal in  $R_q$ <sup>2</sup>. Since  $R_q$ <sup>2</sup> is a bounded group  $n = q^3$  for  $\text{some } j$  in *Z*, which is a contradiction  $(R_q^i = q^i R_q / q^{i+1} R_q)$ . Hence  $R_q^i$  has no proper left ideals and thus *Rq i* is a field of prime characteristics or a zero ring with a prime number of elements. In any case  $R_q^i$  is a group of order  $q^k$  and  $q^{k-1}R_q \neq 0$ . Therefore  $R_q$  has an element or order  $q^k$  and thus  $R_q$  is a cyclic group which implies *Rq* is a commutative ring.

COROLLARY: *If Risa P-ancestral ring whose additive group is a torsion group, then Risa commutative ring.* 

*Proof: R* is a ring-direct sum of *Rq* rings.

**LEMMA** 3. Let R be a P-ancestral ring, then R is a ring-direct sum  $F \oplus T = R$ , *where the additive group of F is a torsion-free group and the additive group of T is a torsion group.* 

*Proof:* By similar arguments as in the proof of lemma 2, the maximal torsion subgroup *T* of *R* is bounded. Hence by [2, page 183] *R* is a direct sum of *T* and a torsion-free group *F,* but ovbiously *T* and *F* are ideals in *R* and the sum is a ring direct sum.

Now we are ready to prove the main result as a consequence of the above results.

THEOREM. *Any P-ancestral ring is a commutative ring.* 

*Proof:* By lemma 3,  $R = F \oplus T$  a ring direct sum. Since F is a torsion-free group and by (i) and (ii) a P-ancestral ring, lemma 1 implies  $F$  is a commutative ring. Similarly by the corollary to lemma 2 *T* being a P-ancestral ring whose

additive structure is a torsion group, is also a commutative ring. Thus *R* is a commutative ring.

UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO Rrco MAYAGUEZ, PUERTO RICO

 $\bar{z}$ 

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] L. Fuchs, Abelian groups, Pergamon Press, New York, 1960.
- [2] I. KAPLAN **SKY,** Infinite abelian groups, University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1960.
- [3] R. E. PEINADO, *Ancestral Rings,* Proceedings Edinburgh Math. Soc., **16** (1967) 107- 110.
- [4] F. Szász, *On rings every subring of which is a multiple of the ring*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **4** (1956) 237-238.  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\boldsymbol{z}$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\sim 10^{-11}$ 

 $\varphi\in\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\mathcal{A}^{\text{out}}$  $\mathcal{A}$ 

 $\sim 10$ 

 $\mathcal{A}$