## **A CONNECTED COUNTABLE HAUSDORFF SPACE,** *Sa*  **FOR EVERY COUNTABLE ORDINAL** *a*

## BY LAWRENCE L. LARMORE

We say that a topological space X is  $S_{\alpha}$  for some ordinal  $\alpha$  if, given distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , we can find open neighborhoods  $U_{\beta}$  ( $\beta < \alpha$ ) of *x* and  $V_{\beta}$  ( $\beta < \alpha$ ) of y such that (1)  $U_0 \cap V_0 = \emptyset$ ; and (2) for any  $\delta < \gamma < \alpha$ ,  $\overline{U}_\gamma \subset U_\delta$  and  $\bar{V}_{\gamma} \subset V_{\delta}$ . The definition of  $S_{\alpha}$  was originally given by Porter and Votaw [2].

In the present paper we constuct a countable connected locally connected topological space X which is  $S_{\alpha}$  for every countable ordinal  $\alpha$ . In [1], Jones and Stone pose the question of existence of a countable connected space which is  $P_a$  for every countable ordinal  $\alpha$ . Since  $S_a$  implies  $P_{a+1}$ , X answers that question affirmatively.

**The model spaces**  $M_{\alpha}$  **and**  $N_{\alpha}$ **.** For any ordinal  $\alpha = \lambda + n$ , where  $\lambda$  is 0 or a limit ordinal and *n* is an integer, let  $e(\alpha) = \lambda + 2n$ , and let  $M_{\alpha}$  be the set of all ordinals  $\beta \leq e(\alpha)$ , with the topology generated by the sets  $A_{\gamma} = \{0 \leq \beta \leq e(\gamma)\}\$ and  $B_{\gamma} = \{e(\gamma) < \beta \leqslant e(\alpha)\}\)$  for all  $\gamma \leqslant \alpha$ . Note that for any  $0 \leqslant \delta \leqslant \gamma \leqslant \alpha$ ,  $B_{\gamma} = eA_{\gamma} \subset B_{\delta}$  (where  $e$  denotes complementation). Let  $N_{\alpha}$  be the quotient space obtained from  $M_a \times \{0, 1\}$  by identifying  $(0, 0)$  with  $(0, 1)$ ; this identified point we call " $0$ ." Let  $N_{\alpha}$  be partially ordered as follows:

- (i) If  $0 < \delta < \gamma \leq \alpha$ ,  $(\delta, 0) < (\gamma, 0)$  and  $(\delta, 1) < (\gamma, 1)$ .
- (ii) For any  $0 < \gamma \leq \alpha$ ,  $0 < (\gamma, 0)$  and  $0 < (\gamma, 1)$ .

Note that  $M_{\alpha}$  and  $N_{\alpha}$  are both connected and locally connected, and that any connected subset of  $N_{\alpha}$  has a least element.

In a certain sense,  $N_{\alpha}$ , though not even Hausdorff, is a model for the property  $S_{\alpha}$ ; *i.e.*, the two points  $(\alpha, 0)$  and  $(\alpha, 1)$  can be separated by appropriately nested sets. For each  $0 \le \beta \le \alpha$ , let  $C_{\beta} = B_{\beta} \times \{0\}$ , and let  $D_{\beta} = B_{\beta} \times \{1\}$ , neighborhoods of  $(\alpha, 0)$  and  $(\alpha, 1)$ , respectively; then (1)  $C_0 \cap D_0 = \emptyset$ ; and (2) for any  $\delta < \gamma \leq \alpha$ ,  $\bar{C}_{\gamma} \subset C_{\delta}$  and  $\bar{D}_{\gamma} \subset D_{\delta}$ .

LEMMA 1: *For any ordinal*  $\alpha < \Omega$ , *let*  $X_{\alpha}$  *be any countable (finite or infinite) set*, and let  $a_{\alpha}$ ,  $b_{\alpha} \in X_{\alpha}$  be specified. Then there exists a countable set  $S \subset \prod_{\alpha < \Omega} X_{\alpha}$ *such that* 

(i) For each finite set F of countable ordinals, the projection  $P_F: S \to \prod_{\alpha \in F} X_\alpha$ *is onto.* 

(ii) For any  $\alpha < \Omega$  and for any  $x, y \in S$ , there exists a countable ordinal  $\beta \geq \alpha$  such that  $\{p_\beta x, p_\beta y\} = \{a_\beta, b_\beta\}$ , where  $p_\beta : S \to X_\beta$  is the projection.

*Proof:* It suffices to consider only the case where each  $X_{\alpha}$  is infinite; we shall make that assumption hereafter. Henceforth, for any  $\beta \in G \subset H$ , G and H sets of ordinals less than  $\Omega$ , let  $P_g: \prod_{\alpha \in H} X_{\alpha} \to \prod_{\alpha \in g} X_{\alpha}$  and  $p_g: \prod_{\alpha \in g} \to X_g$ denote the obvious projections.

For each positive integer *n*, let  $Q_n$  be an infinite set of positive integers; let the  $Q_n$  be chosen so that  $Q_i$  and  $Q_j$  are disjoint if  $i \neq j$ , and such that every positive integer lies in one of the  $Q_n$ . Also, for each ordered pair of positive integers  $(i, j)$ , where  $i < j$ , let  $\Omega_{i,j}$  be any *uncountable* set of countably infinite ordinals; we insist that  $\Omega_{i,j}$  and  $\Omega_{p,q}$  are disjoint if  $(i, j) \neq (p, q)$ . For each integer  $n \geq 1$ , we shall inductively, on  $\alpha$ , define a function:

$$
\Phi_{n,\alpha}: Q_n \to \prod_{\beta \leq \alpha} X_{\beta}
$$

such that:

(1) For each  $\beta < \alpha$ , the following diagram is commutative:



where  $\Lambda = {\gamma \leq \beta}.$ 

(2) For each set F of exactly *n* distinct ordinals less than or equal to  $\alpha$ , the composition  $P_{\mathbf{F}} \circ \Phi_{n,\alpha}: Q_n \to \prod_{J \in \mathbf{F}} X_J$  is onto.

(3) If  $\alpha \in \Omega_{i,j}$  for some  $(i,j)$ , then  $i \in Q_n \Rightarrow p_{\alpha} \Phi_{n,\alpha}(i) = a_{\alpha}$  and  $j \in Q_n \Rightarrow$  $p_{\alpha} \Phi_{n,\alpha}(j) = b_{\beta}$ .

We let  $\Phi_{n,n-1}:Q_n \to \prod_{\alpha \leq n-1} X_\alpha$  be any onto function. For any  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ , let  $\Phi_{n,k} = P_{k+1} \circ \Phi_{n,n-1}$ . Properties (1) and (2) are obviously satisfied for all  $\alpha \leq n-1$ , while (3) is fulfilled vacuously since  $\Omega_{i,j}$  contains no finite ordinals.

Suppose now that  $n \le \alpha \le \Omega$ , and  $\Phi_{n,\beta}$  has been defined for all  $\beta \le \alpha$ , satisfying (1), (2), and (3) above. To define  $\Phi_{n,\alpha}$ , it is necessary and sufficient to specify  $p_{\alpha} \Phi_{n,\alpha}(k)$  for all  $k \in Q_n$ ; property (1) will then be satisfied automatically.

If  $\alpha \in \Omega_{i,j}$  and  $i \in Q_n$ , let  $p_{\alpha} \Phi_{n,\alpha}(i) = a_{\alpha}$ . If  $\alpha \in \Omega_{i,j}$  and  $j \in Q_n$ , let  $p_{\alpha} \Phi_{n,\alpha}(j) = b_{\alpha}$ . Thus (3) is assured.

Henceforth, let  $Q_n' = Q_n - \{i, j\}$  if  $\alpha \in \Omega_{i,j}$  for some  $(i, j)$ ; otherwise let  $Q_n' = Q_n$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the set of all ordered pairs of the form  $(A, x)$  where A is a set of ordinals less than  $\alpha$  with exactly  $n-1$  elements, and where  $x \in \prod_{\beta \in A'} X_{\beta'}$ , where  $A' = A \bigcap {\alpha}$ . Let  $(A_1, x_1), (A_2, x_2), \cdots$  be a specific denumeration of G. We shall inductively, on *m*, define  $z_m \in Q_n'$  for each integer  $m \geq 1$ , such that:

(4)  $P_{A_m}\Phi_{n,\beta}z_m = P_{A_m}x_m$  for all max  $A_m \leq \beta < \alpha$ 

(5)  $z_m \neq z_k$  for all  $k < m$ .

For any  $m \geq 1$ , suppose that  $z_k \in Q_n'$  has been chosen for all  $1 \leq k \leq m$ , satisfying (4) and (5). It is of course only necessary that (4) be satisfied for  $\beta = \beta_m =$ max  $A_m$ . Pick an ordinal  $\delta < \alpha$  such that  $\delta \notin A_m$ . This is always possible since  $\alpha$ 

has at least *n* predecessors. Let  $C = A_m \cup \{\delta\}$ . Let  $\gamma = \max C$ . We have a commutative diagram:



where all functions are onto. Note that  $P_{A_m}^{\quad -1}y$  is in one-to-one correspondence with  $X_{\gamma}$ , hence is infinite, for any  $y \in \prod_{\beta \in A_m} X_{\beta}$ . We now choose  $z_m$  to be any element of  $Q_n' \cap (P_{A_m} \circ \Phi_{n,\gamma})^{-1}P_{A_m}x_m$  such that  $z_m \neq z_k$  for any  $1 \leq k \leq m$ . This is always possible since only finitely many possibilities have been eliminated. Once all  $z_m$  are given, we define  $p_\alpha \Phi_{n,\alpha}(z_m) = p_\alpha x_m$  for all m. If  $i \in Q_n'$ , and if  $i \neq z_m$  for any *m*, let  $p_a \Phi_{n,a}(i)$  be any element of  $X_a$  whatsoever.

We need only check that  $(2)$  is satisfied. Suppose that F is a set of ordinals less than or equal to  $\alpha$ , and that F has exactly n elements. Let  $\beta = \max F$ . If  $\beta < \alpha$ , we are done, by the inductive hypothesis. If  $\beta = \alpha$ , let  $x \in \prod_{\gamma \in F} X_{\gamma}$ . Then  $(F - {\alpha}, x) = (A_m, x_m)$  for some integer  $m \geq 1$ ;  $(P_F \circ \Phi_{n,\alpha})(z_m) = x$ , ,and we are done.

For each *n*, let  $\Phi_n: Q_n \to \prod_{\beta<\Omega} X_\beta$  be the unique function such that  $P_{\alpha+1} \circ \Phi_n = \Phi_{n,\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha < \Omega$ , and let  $S_n = \Phi_n(Q_n) \subset \prod_{\beta < \Omega} X_\beta$ . Let  $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ . If F is any finite set of ordinals less than  $\Omega$ ,  $P_F(S) \supset P_F(S_n) = \prod_{\beta \in F} X_{\beta}$ , where *n* is the cardinality of *F*. Hence (i) is fulfilled. And if  $\beta \in \Omega_{i,j}$ ;  $p_\beta(\Phi(i)) = a_\beta$ and  $p_{\beta}(\Phi(j)) = b_{\beta}$ , where  $\Phi = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_n$ , hence (ii) is fulfilled. This concludes the proof of Lemma 1.

**The Space** X. Let  $X \subset \prod_{\alpha < \alpha} N_{\alpha}$  be any countable subset such that (1) for F any finite set of countable ordinals, the projection  $P_F: X \to \prod_{\alpha \in F} N_\alpha$  is onto; and (2) for any  $x, y \in X$  and any  $\beta < \Omega$ , there exists countable  $\alpha \geq \beta$  such that  $p_{\alpha}(x) = (\alpha, 0)$  and  $p_{\alpha}(y) = (\alpha, 1)$ . Existence of such a set is guaranteed by Lemma 1.

We show that X is  $S_{\alpha}$  for every countable  $\alpha$ . Let  $x, y \in X$ . Without loss of generality,  $p_{\alpha}(x) = (\alpha, 0)$  and  $p_{\alpha}(y) = (\alpha, 1)$ . For every  $\beta < \alpha$ , let  $U_{\beta} = p_{\alpha}^{-1}C_{\beta}$ and  $V_{\beta} = p_{\alpha}^{-1}D_{\beta}$ . Conditions (1) and (2) in the first paragraph of this paper are then satisfied.

Finally, from Lemma 2, below, it follows that *X* is connected and locally connected; the latter since each  $N_{\alpha}$  is locally connected.

LEMMA 2: For each  $\alpha < \Omega$ , let  $Y_{\alpha}$  be any connected subset of  $N_{\alpha}$ , such that  $Y_{\alpha} = N_{\alpha}$ *for all but finitely many choices of*  $\alpha$ *. Let*  $Y = X \cap \prod_{\alpha < \alpha} Y_{\alpha}$ . Then Y is connected.

*Proof:* For each  $\alpha$ , let  $m_{\alpha} \in Y_{\alpha}$  be the least element. We shall prove a lemma:

**LEMMA** 3: Let F be any finite set of countable ordinals, and let  $\alpha \in F$ . For each  $\beta \in F$ , let  $x_{\beta} \in N_{\beta}$  be given, such that  $x_{\alpha} = m_{\alpha}$ . Suppose that  $U \subset Y$  is open and

*closed (in Y), and suppose that for all*  $y \in Y$ *,*  $y \in U$  *provided*  $p_{\beta}y = x_{\beta}$  *for all*  $\beta \in F$ . Then, for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $y \in U$  provided  $p_{\beta}y = x_{\beta}$  for all  $\beta \in F - {\{\alpha\}}$ .

*Proof:* We prove this lemma by induction on  $p_{\alpha}y$ . Clearly, if  $p_{\alpha}y$  is required to be  $m_{\alpha}$ , there is no problem. Suppose then, for some  $a \in N_{\alpha}$ , the statement of the lemma holds for all *y* such that  $m_a \leqslant p_a y \leqslant a$ . We then show that it holds if  $p_{\alpha}y = a$ .

*Case* I: *a* odd. That is,  $\{a\}$  is open. Let  $b \in Y_a$  be the immediate predecessor of *a.* Every neighborhood of b must then contain *a.* Let W be an arbitrary neighborhood of y. Pick open sets  ${V_{\beta} \subset Y_{\beta}}_{\beta<\Omega}$  such that, for some finite  $G, V_{\beta}=N_{\beta}$ for all  $\beta \notin (F \cup G)$ , and such that  $y \in \prod_{\beta<\Omega} V_{\beta} \subset W$ . Pick  $y' \in Y$  such that  $p_{\alpha} y' = b$  and  $p_{\beta} y' = p_{\beta} y$  for all  $\beta \in (F \cup \widehat{G}) - {\alpha}$ . By hypothesis,  $y' \in U$ . Pick open sets  $\{U_\beta \subset Y_\beta\}_{\beta<\Omega}$  such that, for some finite H,  $U_\beta = N_\beta$  for all  $\beta \in (F \cup G \cup H)$ , and such that  $y' \in \prod_{\beta<\mathfrak{D}} U_{\beta} \subset U$ . Now  $a \in U_{\alpha}$ . Pick  $y'' \in Y$ such that  $p_{\alpha}y'' = a$  and  $p_{\beta}y'' = p_{\beta}y'$  for all  $\beta \in (F \cup G \cup H) - {\alpha}$ . Then  $y'' \in U \cap W$ . It follows that  $y \in \overline{U} = U$ .

*Case II: a* even. That is,  $\{a\}$  is closed. Then every neighborhood of a contains a predecessor of a. Let  $W$  be an arbitrary neighborhood of  $y$ . Pick a finite set  $G$  and open sets  $\{V_{\beta} \subset Y_{\beta}\}_{{\beta\leq 0}}$  such that  $V_{\beta} = N_{\beta}$  for all  $\beta \notin G$ , and  $y \in \prod_{{\beta} \leq 0} V_{\beta} \subset W$ . Pick  $b \in N_a$  such that  $b < a$  and  $b \in V_a$ , and pick  $y' \in Y$  such that  $p_a y' = b$ and  $p_{\beta}y' = p_{\beta}y$  for all  $\beta \in (F \cup G) - {\alpha}$ . By hypothesis,  $y' \in U$ . On the other hand,  $y' \in W$ . Thus  $y \in \overline{U} = U$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

Returning to the proof of Lemma 2, we suppose that  $Y$  is disconnected. Let  $U$ and V be non-empty disjoint open sets such that  $Y = U \cup V$ , Pick  $u \in U$ . Pick open sets  $\{U_\beta \subset Y_\beta\}_{\beta \leq \Omega}$  and  $\{V_\beta \subset Y_\beta\}_{\beta \leq \Omega}$  such that, for some finite set F,  $U_{\beta}$  =  $V_{\beta}$  =  $N_{\beta}$  for all  $\beta \notin F$ , and such that  $u \in \prod_{\beta < \Omega} U_{\beta} \subset U$  and  $v \in \prod_{\beta<\Omega} V_{\beta} \subset V$ . Pick  $w \in Y$  such that  $p_{\beta}w = m_{\beta}$  for all  $\beta \in F$ . Without loss of generality,  $w \in U$ . Pick open sets  $\{W_{\beta} \subset Y_{\beta}\}_{{\beta} < \Omega}$  such that  $w \in \prod_{{\beta} < \Omega} W_{\beta} \subset U$ , and such that, for some finite set G,  $W_{\beta} = N_{\beta}$  for all  $\beta \notin G$ . Let  $F = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n)$ . For each  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , we inductively prove the statement:

*(R<sub>i</sub>*) For any  $y \in Y$ ,  $y \in U$  provided (1)  $p_{\beta}y \in W_{\beta}$  for all  $\beta \in G - F$ , and (2)  $p_{\alpha_i}y = m_{\alpha_i}$  for all  $i < j \leq n$ .

*R*<sub>0</sub> is implied by the statement  $\prod_{\beta\leq 0}W_{\beta}\subset U$ , while  $R_i \Rightarrow R_{i+1}$  by Lemma 2, for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $y \in Y$  be any element where  $p_{\beta}y = p_{\beta}y$  for all  $\beta \in F$ , and  $p_{\beta}y = p_{\beta}w$  for all  $\beta \in G - F$ . A priori,  $y \in \prod_{\beta < \Omega} V_{\beta} \subset V$ , while  $R_n \Rightarrow y \in U$ : contradiction.

CALIFORNIA STATE COLLEGE, DoMiNGuEz HILLS

## **REFERENCES**

[1) F. **B.** \_JONES and STONE, A. H. *Countable locally connected Urysohn spaces,* Coll. Math. • **22(1971),** 239'-44.

[2]  $J. R. PORTER and VOTAW, CH.,  $S(\alpha)$  spaces and regular Hausdorff extensions (to appear).$