INVARIANCE PRINCIPLE FOR SUMS OF INDEPENDENT RANDOM VARIABLES ON A BINARY TREE

BY L. G. GOROSTIZA AND **A.** R. MONCAYO

Introduction

Consider a tree and a collection of mdependent and identically distributed random variables indexed by its nodes. For each realization of the random variables, and each branch of length *n* of the tree, there is a Donsker path associated to their partial sums on the branch. A stochastic process is defined by choosing at random one of the branches of length *n* and taking the corresponding Donsker path. We are interested in proving functional central limit theorems for such processes, as $n \to \infty$, that hold for almost all realizations of the random variables.

For the partial sums of length *n* on certain deterministic trees, and on Galton-Watson trees, central limit theorems holding almost surely have been proved by Stam [10], and by Joffe and Moncayo [4]. Previous and related work has been done by Harris [2], Kharlamov [5], Kolmogorov [6], and Ney [8, 9].

Joffe and Moncayo first tested their ideas on a binary tree [3]. Following them, we also try first on a binary tree, and in this paper we.prove an invariance principle for this case. This result of course implies that of [3], and although our approach has some similarities with that work, it is simpler and more general; in particular, we can avoid the detailed use made there of characteristic functions.

Notation, Definitions, Results, and Proofs

For each $n = 1, 2, \dots, \gamma_n$ denotes the set of all binary sequences (i.e., sequences of zeros and ones) of length *n*, \mathbb{B}_n is the algebra of all subsets of γ_n , and. P_n is the uniform probability measure on $(\gamma_n, \mathcal{B}_n)$. Expectation on the probability space $(\gamma_n, \mathcal{B}_n, P_n)$ is written E_n .

 $\gamma = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n$ is a binary tree. Its branches are denoted τ , so $\tau \in \gamma_n$ means that τ is a branch of length *n*. The particular branch $0 \cdots 0 \in \gamma_n$ is denoted ζ_n . The nodes are named θ , so $\theta \in \tau$ means that θ is a node in the branch τ . The set of nodes at the ends of the branches in γ_n is written ξ_n , so ξ_n is the *n*th generation of nodes. $\Theta = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n$ is the set of all nodes of the tree γ (we exclude the first node).

For $\tau \in \gamma_k$ and $\tau' \in \gamma_n$, with $k \leq n$, the notation $\tau \leq \tau'$ means that the first *k* nodes of τ' are the nodes of τ (so τ' is an extension of τ). For $\tau \in \gamma_k$ and $k \leq n$, γ_n^{τ} is the set of branches $\{\tau' \in \gamma_n : \tau \leq \tau'\}$ (i.e., the extensions of τ of size n). Clearly, the cardinality of γ_n is 2^n , and for $\tau \in \gamma_k$ and $k \leq n, \gamma_n^*$ has cardinality 2^{n-k}

Let $\{X(\theta), \theta \in \Theta\}$ be independent and identically distributed random varibles, with mean zero and variance one, defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$. The notations *E* and *Var* refer to expectation and variance on this space. For $\tau \in \gamma_n$, and a natural number k, $1 \leq k \leq n$, $S_k(\tau) = \sum_{\theta \in \tau' \in \gamma_k, \tau' \leq \tau} X(\theta)$ is the sum of the random variables on the first k nodes of the branch τ .

On the product probability space $(\Omega \times \gamma_n, \mathfrak{F} \times \mathfrak{G}_n, P \times P_n)$ we define a random element Y_n of $C[0, 1]$ as follows: for $\omega \in \Omega$, $\tau \in \gamma_n$, $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$
Y_n(\omega, \tau; t) = n^{-1/2} S_k(\omega, \tau), t = k/n, k = 0, 1, \cdots, n,
$$

linear on $[(k - 1)/n, k/n], k = 1, \cdots, n.$

These are the Donsker paths on the branches of γ_n . For fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, the random process $\{Y_n(\omega, \cdot; t), t \in [0, 1]\}$ on $(\gamma_n, \mathcal{B}_n, P_n)$ represents a (uniform) random choice of one of the $2ⁿ$ Donsker paths. In the model of [3], the (random) distribution of the positions of the elements belonging to the nth generation was considered; now we are taking with each element in the *n*th generation the positions of its whole line of ancestors.

The invariance principle is the

THEOREM. The sequence or processes $\{Y_n(\omega, \cdot; t), t \in [0, 1]\}$ converges weakly to a *standard Brownian motion process B for P-almost all w.*

Consequently, for any measurable $f: C[0, 1] \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ whose discontinuity set has B-measure zero, $f(Y_n(\omega, \cdot))$ converges weakly to $f(B)$ as $n \to \infty$, for P-almost all ω ; and if *f* is bounded, $E_n f(Y_n(\omega, \cdot)) = 2^{-n} \Sigma_{\tau \in \gamma_n} f(Y_n(\omega, \tau)) \rightarrow$ $\int_{c[0,1]} f \, dB$ as $n \to \infty$, for P-almost all ω . In particular, the functional $f(x) = x(1)$, $x \in C[0, 1)$, yields the central limit theorem of [3].

Proof. The proof is presented in two lemmas. The first lemma gives a sufficient condition for weak convergence of X_n to X on $C[0, 1]$. The second lemma shows that the sufficient condition of the first holds for $X_n = Y_n(\omega)$ and $X = B$, for P-almost all ω , thus completing the proof of the theorem.

A complex-valued function f on $C[0, 1]$ is said to satisfy a Lipschitz condition of order one if there is a constant $M \geq 0$ such that

$$
|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t) - y(t)|, x, y \in C[0, 1].
$$

LEMMA 1. Let X_n , $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, and X be random elements of $C[0, 1]$ defined *respectively on probability spaces* $(\Omega_n, \mathfrak{F}_n, P_n), n = 1, 2, \cdots,$ and $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$. If

$$
\int f(X_n) dP_n \to \int f(X) dP \text{ as } n \to \infty
$$

for all bounded complex-valued functions} on C[0, l] *that satisfy a Lipschitz cond1: tion of order one, then* X_n *converges weakly to* X *as* $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $f(x) = \exp iuw(x, \delta)$, where $u \in (-\infty, \infty)$ is fixed, and $w(x, \delta) =$ $\sup_{|t-s|<\delta} |x(t) - x(s)|$ is the modulus of continuity of $x \in C[0, 1]$, with fixed $\delta > 0$. Using the inequality $|e^{ia} - 1| \leq |a|$ for real *a*, and the inequality $|w(x, \delta) - w(y, \delta)| \leq 2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t) - y(t)|$, we obtain $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq$ $2|u| \sup_{0 \le t \le 1} |x(t) - y(t)|$, and since this holds for all *u*, we have by hypothesis that $w(X_n, \delta)$ converges weakly to $w(X, \delta)$ as $n \to \infty$; in particular, $P_n[w(X_n, \delta) \geq \epsilon] \rightarrow P[w(X, \delta) \geq \epsilon]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $\epsilon > 0$, which implies that $\{X_n\}$ is tight (see [1], Theorem 8.2).

Now let $f(x) = \exp i \sum_{j=1}^m u_j x(t_j)$, where $t_1, \dots, t_m \in [0, 1]$ are fixed,

 $u_1, \dots, u_m \in (-\infty, \infty)$ are fixed, and $x \in C[0, 1]$. Again using the inequality $|e^{ia} - 1| \leq |a|$ we find $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} |u_j| \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t) - y(t)|$, and since this holds for all u_1, \dots, u_m , by hypothesis it follows that $(X_n(t_1), \cdots, X_n(t_m))$ converges weakly to $(X(t_1), \cdots, X(t_m))$ as $n \to \infty$.

Since the finite-dimensional distributions of X_n converge weakly to those of X , and ${X_n}$ is tight, the lemma is proved (see [1], Theorem 8.1).

LEMMA 2. *For all bounded complex-valued functions f on C[O,* 1] *that satisfy a Lipschitz condition of order one,*

$$
2^{-n} \Sigma_{\tau \in \gamma_n} f(Y_n(\omega, \tau)) \to \int c_{[0,1]} f dB \text{ as } n \to \infty
$$

for P-almost all w.

Proof. For $0 \leq k \leq n$, define a random element $Y_{n,k}$ of $C[0, 1]$ on $(\Omega \times \gamma_n, \mathfrak{F} \times \mathfrak{G}_n, P \times P_n)$ as follows: for $\omega \in \Omega, \tau \in \gamma_n, t \in [0, 1],$

$$
Y_{n,k}(\omega, \tau; t) = 0, 0 \le t \le k/n,
$$

\n
$$
n^{-1/2}(S_j(\omega, \tau) - S_k(\omega, \tau)), t = j/n, j = k, \cdots, n,
$$

\n
$$
\text{linear on } [(j-1)/n, j/n], j = k+1, \cdots, n.
$$

For *f* satisfying the hypothesis of the lemma, let

$$
\varphi_n(\omega) = 2^{-n} \Sigma_{\tau \in \gamma_n} f(Y_n(\omega, \tau)), \omega \in \Omega,
$$

$$
\varphi_{n,k}(\omega) = 2^{-n} \Sigma_{\tau \in \gamma_n} f(Y_{n,k}(\omega, \tau)), \omega \in \Omega.
$$

We will show that

- 1. $P[\varphi_n \varphi_{n,k_n} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty] = 1, \text{ with } k_n \to \infty, k_n n_{\text{max}}^{-1/2} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$ 2. $E\varphi_{n,k_n} \to \int_{c[0,1]} f dB \text{ as } n \to \infty$, with $k_n \to \infty$, $k_n n^{-1/2} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.
-

3. $P[\varphi_{n,k_n}-E\varphi_{n,k_n}\to 0 \text{ as } n\to\infty]=1$, with $k_n=\text{integer part of } n^{1/3}$.

These three steps clearly prove the lemma.

Proof of 1.
$$
|\varphi_n - \varphi_{n,k}| \leq 2^{-n} \Sigma_{\tau \in \gamma_n} |f(Y_n(\tau)) - f(Y_{n,k}(\tau))|
$$

\n $\leq M 2^{-n} \Sigma_{\tau \in \gamma_n} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |Y_n(\tau, t) - Y_{n,k}(\tau, t)|$
\n $\leq M 2^{-n} \Sigma_{\tau \in \gamma_n} \sup_{1 \leq j \leq k} n^{-1/2} |S_j(\tau)|$
\n $\leq M 2^{-n} n^{-1/2} \Sigma_{\tau \in \gamma_n} \Sigma_{\theta \in \tau' \in \gamma_k, \tau' \leq \tau} |X(\theta)|$
\n $= M 2^{-n} n^{-1/2} \Sigma_{j=1}^k 2^{n-j} \Sigma_{\theta \in \xi_j} |X(\theta)|$
\n $= M n^{-1/2} \Sigma_{j=1}^k Z_j$,

where $Z_j = 2^{-j} \sum_{\theta \in \xi_j} |X(\theta)|$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, are independent, with $EZ_j = \mu$ and $\text{Var } Z_j = 2^{-j} \sigma^2$, where $\mu = E |X(0)|$ and $\sigma^2 = \text{Var } |X(0)|$.

 $\text{Hence } \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_n - \varphi_{n,k} \leq Mn^{-1/2} \Sigma_{j=1}^k(Z_j - \mu) + M \mu k n^{-1/2}, \text{and since } \Sigma_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{Var } Z_j \end{bmatrix}$ $< \infty$ implies that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (Z_j - \mu)$ converges almost surely (see [7], p. 236), step 1 is proved.

Proof of 2. $E\varphi_{n,k} = E\varphi_n + E(\varphi_{n,k} - \varphi_n)$ *, where clearly* $E\varphi_n = Ef(Y_n(\zeta_n))$ *.*

Since φ_n and $\varphi_{n,k}$ are uniformly bounded, because f is bounded, then by step 1 and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, $E(\varphi_{n,k_n} - \varphi_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Now by Donsker's theorem (see [1], Theorem 10.1), $Y_n(\zeta_n)$ converges weakly to B as $n \to \infty$, and therefore $E\varphi_n \to \int_{C[0,1]} f dB$ as $n \to \infty$, and step 2 is proved.

Proof of 3. Let $A_{n,k} = \varphi_{n,k} - E \varphi_{n,k}$, and $T_{\tau} = \Sigma_{\tau' \in \gamma_n} f(Y_{n,k}(\tau'))$, $\tau \in \gamma_k$. T_{τ} , $\tau \in \gamma_k$, are independent and identically distributed, and

$$
A_{n,k} = 2^{-n} \Sigma_{\tau \in \gamma_k} (T_{\tau} - ET_{\tau});
$$

hence $E \mid A_{n,k} \mid^2 = 2^{-2n+k} E \mid T_{\zeta_k} - E T_{\zeta_k} \mid^2 \leq 2^{-2n+k} E \mid T_{\zeta_k} \mid^2$, and since f is $\text{bounded, say by } K, E \mid T_{\mathfrak{f}_k} \mid^2 \leq K^2 2^{2(n-k)}, \text{ so that } E \mid A_{n,k} \mid^2 \leq K^2 2^{-k}.$

Since $2^{-n^{1/3}} < n^{-2}$ for large *n*, by Chebyshev's inequality and the Borel-Cantelli lemma we have, for k_n = integer part of $n^{1/3}$, P[| A_{n,k_n} | > ϵ infinitely often] = 0 for all $\epsilon > 0$, which finishes the proof.

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACI6N DEL **IPN** UNIVERSIDAD AuT6NOMA METROPOLITANA (IZTAPALAPA)

REFERENCES

- [1] P. BILLINGSLEY, Convergence of Probability Measures, Wiley, (1968).
- [2] T. E. HARRIS, The Theory of Branching Processes, Springer-Verlag, (1963).
- [3] A. JOFFE AND A. R. MONCAYO, *On sums of independent random variables defined on a binary tree,* Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana., **18,** N° 1, (1973), 50-4.
- [4] ----, *Random variables, trees, and branching random walks*, Advances in Math., 10, N° 3, (1973), 401-16.
- [5] B. P. KHARLAMOV, *The number of generations in a branching process with an arbitrary set of particle types,* Theor. Probability Appl., **14** (1969), 432-49.
- [6] A. N. KoLMOGOROV, *Uber das logarithmisch normale Verteilungsgesetz der Dimensionen* der Teilchen bei Zerstückelung, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 31 (1941), 99-101.
- [7] M. Loève, Probability Theory, Third Edition, Van Nostrand, (1963).
- [8] P. E. NEY, *The limit distribution of a binary cascade process,* J. Math. Anal. Appl., **10** (1965), 30-6.
- [9] ——, *The convergence of a random distribution function associated with brancing processes,* J. Math. Anal. Appl., **12** (1965), 316-27.
- [10] A. J. STAM, *Ona conjecture by Harris,* Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete, **6** (1966), 202-06.