

# ON APPROXIMATION NUMBERS, $n$ -DIAMETERS AND MEASURE OF NON-COMPACTNESS

BY JOSÉ A. CANAVATI AND MAXIMILIANO DIAZ.

## 1. Introduction

In [7] R. D. Nussbaum gave a characterization of the essential spectral radius  $r_e(T)$  of F. Browder essential spectrum  $\sigma_e(T)$ , of a bounded linear operator  $T$  defined on a Banach space  $X$ . The basic tool used there was K. Kuratowski measure of non-compactness [5] and Goldenstein—Gohberg—Markus ball measure of non compactness [3]. The object of this note is to relate some of the concepts and results in [7] to the concepts of approximation numbers and  $n$ -diameters which are due to A. Pietsch [8] and A. N. Kolmogoroff [4] respectively (see propositions 6 and 7). Also we will give another characterization of the essential spectral radius  $r_e(T)$  (Proposition 4), and relate this result to P. Enflo solution of the basis problem [2] in the following way: Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $L(X)$  the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators in  $X$ . Denote by  $F(X)$  and  $K(X)$  the two sided ideals in  $B(X)$  consisting of all operators of finite rank and all compact operators, respectively. Then  $K(X)$  is closed and  $F(X) \subseteq K(X)$ . A long outstanding open question has been to characterize the closure  $A(X)$  of  $F(X)$  in  $L(X)$ . In this direction I. Maddaus [6] proved that if  $X$  has a Schauder basis, then  $A(X) = K(X)$ . However, a recent result of P. Enflo [2] gives an example of a separable reflexive space  $X$  where  $A(X) \neq K(X)$ . Now, a consequence of Proposition 5 in this work is the following

**THEOREM:** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and consider the Banach algebras  $\bar{X} = L(X)/K(X)$  and  $\hat{X} = L(X)/A(X)$ . Then for every  $T \in L(X)$  we have: spectral radius of  $(\bar{T}) = \text{spectral radius of } (\hat{T}) = r_e(T)$ , where  $\bar{T} = T + K(X)$  and  $\hat{T} = T + A(X)$ .*

This is interesting in view of Enflo's result which assures the existence of Banach spaces  $X$  for which  $A(X) \neq K(X)$ .

For the sake of completeness we give explicitly some elementary definitions. Let  $X$  be a complete metric space and  $A$  a bounded subset of  $X$ . Following Kuratowski [5], we define  $\gamma(A)$ , which we shall call the measure of noncompactness of  $A$ , to be  $\inf \{d > 0; A \text{ can be covered by finitely many sets of diameter } \leq d\}$ .

If  $A$  and  $X$  are as above and  $S$  is a nonempty subset of  $X$ , following Goldenstein, Gohberg and Markus [3] we define  $\tilde{\gamma}_S(A)$ , which we shall call the ball measure of non-compactness of  $A$  in  $S$ , to be  $\inf \{r > 0; A \text{ can be covered by finitely many balls with centers in } S \text{ and radii } \leq r\}$ .

If  $S = X$  we simply write  $\tilde{\gamma}_X = \tilde{\gamma}$ . The reason for this terminology is simple, since a complete metric space is compact iff it is totally bounded:  $\gamma(A) = 0$  iff  $\tilde{\gamma}(A) = 0$  iff  $A$  is relatively compact.

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are complete metric spaces and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous function, we say that  $f$  is a  $k$ -set contraction if for every bounded set  $A$  in  $X$ ,  $\gamma_Y(f(A)) \leq k\gamma_X(A)$ , and we say that  $f$  is a ball- $k$ -set contraction if  $\tilde{\gamma}_Y(f(A)) \leq k\tilde{\gamma}_X(A)$  for every bounded set  $A$  in  $X$ . We define

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(f) &= \inf \{k; f \text{ is a } k\text{-set contraction}\} \text{ and} \\ \tilde{\gamma}(f) &= \inf \{k; f \text{ is a ball-}k\text{-set contraction}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $Y$  be a Banach space. We denote by  $L(X, Y)$  the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from  $X$  to  $Y$ , and by  $K(X, Y)$  the Banach space of all compact linear operators from  $X$  to  $Y$ . If  $X = Y$  we simply write  $L(X) = L(X, X)$  and  $K(X) = K(X, X)$ . If  $X$  is a Banach space and if  $\tilde{X} = L(X)/K(X)$  denotes the Calkin algebra together with the usual norm  $\| \tilde{T} \| = \inf \{ \| T + K \| ; K \in K(X) \}$  ( $T \in L(X)$ ), then it can be shown [7] that  $\gamma(T) \leq \| \tilde{T} \|$ .

Now, let  $T$  be a closed, densely defined linear operator on a Banach space  $X$ . F. E. Browder [1] defined the essential spectrum  $\sigma_e(T)$  of  $T$ , to be the set of  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ , the spectrum of  $T$ , such that at least one of the following conditions hold: (1)  $R(\lambda - T)$ , the range of  $\lambda - T$ , is not closed; (2)  $\lambda$  is a limit point of  $\sigma(T)$ ; (3)  $\cup_{n \geq 1} N((\lambda - T)^n)$  is infinite dimensional, where  $N(T)$  denotes the nullspace of a linear operator  $T$ . Browder proved that  $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma_e(T)$  iff for some  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\lambda$  is in the resolvent set of  $T$  for  $0 < \| \lambda - \lambda_0 \| < \delta$  and the Laurent expansion of  $(\lambda - T)^{-1}$  around  $\lambda_0$  has only a finite number of non-zero coefficients with negative indices.

The main result in [7] is the following:

**THEOREM. (Nussbaum):** *Let  $X$  be a (complex) Banach space and  $T \in L(X)$ . If we define the essential spectral radius of  $T$  to be*

$$r_e(T) = \sup \{ |\lambda|; \lambda \in \sigma_e(T) \},$$

then

$$r_e(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\gamma(T^n))^{1/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\tilde{\gamma}(T^n))^{1/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\| \tilde{T}^n \|)^{1/n}$$

In particular, we see that the spectral radius of the element  $\tilde{T}$  of the Banach algebra  $\tilde{X}$  is precisely the essential spectral radius of the linear operator  $T \in L(X)$ .

## 2. Another characterization of $r_e(T)$

If  $X$  is a metric space we denote the open ball centered at  $x \in X$  and radius  $r > 0$  by  $B_r(x)$ . We let  $B = B_1(0)$ , if  $X$  is normed. The following concept was used by A. Pietsch in [8]: Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed spaces. For every integer  $n \geq 0$  we let  $F_n(X, Y) = \{ T \in L(X, Y); \dim(T(X)) \leq n \}$ , and  $F(X, Y) = \cup_{n \geq 0} F_n(X, Y)$ . Then  $F(X, Y)$  is the vector subspace of  $L(X, Y)$  consisting of all linear operators of finite rank. If  $T \in L(X, Y)$  we define the approximation number of  $T$  of order  $n$ , as the number  $\alpha_n(T) = \inf \{ \| T - F \| ; F \in F_n(X, Y) \}$ . It is clear that  $\| T \| = \alpha_0(T) \geq \alpha_1(T) \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n(T) \geq \dots$ .

Now let  $A(X, Y)$  be the closure of the set  $F(X, Y)$  in  $L(X, Y)$ . Then we have

PROPOSITION 1: *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces. For every  $T \in L(X, Y)$  we define  $\alpha(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(T)$ .*

*Then we have:*

- (a)  $\alpha_n(T) = 0$  iff  $T \in F_n(X, Y)$ .
- (b)  $\alpha(T) = 0$  iff  $T$  is approximable, in the operator norm, by linear operators of finite rank. In particular  $A(X, Y) = \{T \in L(X, Y); \alpha(T) = 0\} \subseteq K(X, Y)$ .
- (c)  $\|\hat{T}\| \leq \alpha_n(T)$  for every  $n \geq 0$ , and hence  $\|\hat{T}\| \leq \alpha(T) \leq \|\hat{T}\|$ .
- (d)  $T \in L(X, Y)$  and  $F \in F_n(X, Y)$  imply that  $\alpha_n(T + F) = \alpha_n(T)$ , and hence  $\alpha(T + F) = \alpha(T)$ .

*Proof:* (a), (b) and (d) follow immediately from the definitions of  $\alpha_n$  and  $\alpha$ .

(c) We have from  $F_n(X, Y) \subseteq K(X, Y)$  that  $\|\hat{T}\| = \inf \{ \|T + K\|; K \in K(X, Y) \} \leq \inf \{ \|T - F\|; F \in F_n(X, Y) \} = \alpha_n(T)$ .

PROPOSITION 2: *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces. Then:*

- (a)  $\alpha$  is a continuous seminorm on  $L(X, Y)$
- (b)  $\alpha(T + S) = \alpha(T)$ , for every  $T \in L(X, Y)$  and  $S \in A(X, Y)$ .
- (c) If  $X = Y$  and if  $S, T \in L(X)$ , then  $\alpha(ST) \leq \alpha(S)\alpha(T)$ .

*Proof:* (a) In [8; 121-122] it is shown that  $\alpha_n(\lambda T) = |\lambda|\alpha_n(T)$  and  $\alpha_{m+n}(S + T) \leq \alpha_m(S) + \alpha_n(T)$ . If we let  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain  $\alpha(\lambda T) = |\lambda|\alpha(T)$  and  $\alpha(S + T) \leq \alpha(S) + \alpha(T)$ .

Thus  $\alpha$  is a seminorm on  $L(X, Y)$ . That it is continuous follows from the obvious inequalities  $|\alpha(S) - \alpha(T)| \leq \alpha(S - T) \leq \|S - T\|$ .

(b) Let  $T \in L(X, Y)$  and  $S \in A(X, Y)$ . Then there is a sequence  $\{S_m\}$  in  $F(X, Y)$  such that  $\|S - S_m\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , and since  $\alpha$  is continuous we have from Proposition 1 (d)  $\alpha(T + S) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(T + S_m) = \alpha(T)$ .

(c) In [8; 122] it is shown that  $\alpha_{m+n}(ST) \leq \alpha_m(S)\alpha_n(T)$ . If we let  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain the desired result.

PROPOSITION 3: *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $T \in L(X)$ .*

(a) *The limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha(T^n))^{1/n}$  exists and equals  $\inf_{n > 0} (\alpha(T^n))^{1/n}$ . If we denote this limit by  $a(T)$ , then  $a(T) \leq r_o(T)$  and  $a(T) \leq \alpha(T)$ , where  $r_o(T)$  denotes the spectral radius of  $T$ .*

(b) *If  $F \in F(X)$  then  $a(T + F) = a(T)$ .*

*Proof:* (a) The proof that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha(T^n))^{1/n} = \inf_{n > 0} (\alpha(T^n))^{1/n}$  is a standard argument (see, for example, [9; 212]). One only needs Proposition 2 (c) and the fact that  $\alpha(T) \geq 0$  for  $T \in L(X)$ , to get the desired result. The inequalities are obvious.

(b) If  $F \in F(X)$  then we can write  $(T + F)^n = T^n + F_n$ , where  $F_n$  is a linear operator of finite rank. Hence from Proposition 1 (d) we have  $\alpha((T + F)^n) = \alpha(T^n + F_n) = \alpha(T^n)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ; and this implies that  $a(T + F) = a(T)$ .

PROPOSITION 4: *If  $X$  is a Banach space and  $T \in L(X)$ , then*

$$r_e(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha(T^n))^{1/n}$$

*Proof:* From Proposition 1 (c) we have  $(\| \hat{T}^n \|)^{1/n} \leq (\alpha(T^n))^{1/n}$  ( $n > 0$ ); and hence from Nussbaum's theorem and Proposition 3 (a) we obtain  $r_e(T) \leq \alpha(T)$ . Given  $r > r_e(T)$ , it is shown in [7] (Lemma 6) that there is an  $F \in F_n(X)$ , for some  $n \geq 0$ , such that  $TF = FT$  and  $r_o(T + F) \leq r$ . Combining this with the inequality above and with Proposition 3 we obtain  $r \geq r_o(T + F) \geq \alpha(T + F) = \alpha(T) \geq r_e(T)$ . Since we can take  $r$  arbitrarily close to  $r_e(T)$ , we conclude that  $r_e(T) = \alpha(T)$ .

Now, recall that we have  $A(X) = \{T \in L(X); \alpha(T) = 0\}$  (Proposition 1 (b)),  $A(X) \subseteq K(X)$  and  $A(X)$  is a closed two sided ideal of the Banach algebra  $L(X)$ . Consider the quotient algebra  $\hat{X} = L(X)/A(X)$ , together with the norm  $\| \hat{T} \| = \inf \{ \| T + S \| ; S \in A(X) \}$ , where  $\hat{T} = T + A(X)$ . Then  $\hat{X}$  is a Banach algebra, and if  $A(X) = K(X)$ , then  $\hat{X} = \hat{X}$ .

PROPOSITION 5: *Let  $T \in L(X)$ . Then:*

- (a)  $\| \hat{T} \| = \alpha(T)$ .
- (b)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\| \hat{T}^n \|)^{1/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\| \hat{T}^n \|)^{1/n} = r_e(T)$ . i.e., *the spectral radius of  $\hat{T} \in \hat{X}$  and  $T \in X$  coincide.*

*Proof:* (a) Since  $\alpha(T) = \inf \{ \| T - F \| ; F \in F(X) \}$  and  $F(X) \subseteq A(X)$ , then we have  $\alpha(T) \geq \| \hat{T} \|$ . Now, let  $\eta > \| \hat{T} \|$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\eta > \epsilon > \| \hat{T} \|$ .

Then there is a  $S \in A(X)$  such that  $\| T - S \| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . But  $S \in A(X)$  implies that

there is a  $U \in F(X)$  such that  $\| S - U \| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .

Adding these two inequalities we obtain  $\alpha(T) \leq \| T - U \| \leq \| T - S \| + \| S - U \| < \epsilon < \eta$ . Since we can choose  $\eta$  arbitrarily close to  $\| \hat{T} \|$  we conclude that  $\| \hat{T} \| \geq \alpha(T)$ .

(b) immediate from (a) and Proposition 4.

### 3. Some related results

We start with the following concept due to Kolmogoroff [4]: Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $F$  a subspace of  $X$ . If  $A$  is a bounded subset of  $X$  and  $n \geq 0$  is an integer we define  $\delta_n(F; A)$ , which we shall call the  $n$ -diameter of  $A$  in  $F$ , to be  $\inf \{ \delta > 0; A \subseteq \delta B + G, \text{ where } G \text{ is a subspace of } F \text{ with dimension } \leq n \}$ . It is clear that  $\delta_0(F; A) \geq \delta_1(F; A) \geq \dots \geq \delta_n(F; A) \geq \dots$ . If  $F = X$  we simply write  $\delta_n(A) = \delta_n(X; A)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ .

PROPOSITION 6: *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $F$  a substance of  $X$ . If for every bounded set  $A$  in  $X$  we let  $\delta(F; A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n(F; A)$ , then  $\delta(F; A) = \tilde{\gamma}_F(A)$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $r > \tilde{\gamma}_F(A)$ , then there are points  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in F$  such that  $A \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n (x_i + rB)$ . If we let  $G$  be the subspace spanned by the vectors  $x_1 \dots, x_n$ , then  $G \subseteq F$ ,  $\dim(G) \leq n$  and  $A \subseteq rB + G$ . Thus  $\delta(F; A) \leq \delta_n(F; A) \leq r$ , and since  $r$  is arbitrary we must have  $\delta(F; A) \leq \tilde{\gamma}_F(A)$ .

Now let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given and let  $\alpha = \delta(F; A) + \epsilon$ . Then there is an  $n \geq 0$  such that  $\delta_n(F; A) < \alpha$ . Hence there exists a subspace  $G$  of  $F$  with  $\dim(G) \leq n$  such

that  $A \subseteq \alpha B + G$ . Let  $x \in A$ , then we can write  $x = \alpha y + z$ ,  $y \in B$ ,  $z \in G$ . Thus  $\|z\| \leq \alpha \|y\| + \|x\| \leq \alpha + \|x\|$ ; and since  $x \in A \subseteq \beta B$  for some  $\beta > 0$  large enough, we must have  $\|z\| \leq \alpha + \beta$  i.e.,  $z \in U = G \cap (\alpha + \beta)B$ . Since  $U$  is totally bounded in  $G$  there exists points  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in U$  such that  $U \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n (x_i + \epsilon(B \cap G)) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n (x_i + \epsilon B)$ . Thus  $x = \alpha y + z \in \alpha B + U \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n (x_i + (\alpha + \epsilon)B)$ , where  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in F$ . Hence  $\tilde{\gamma}_F(A) \leq \alpha + \epsilon = \delta(F; A) + 2\epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary our proof is complete.

The following result relates the concepts of approximation numbers of order  $n$ ,  $n$ -diameters and ball measure of noncompactness.

**PROPOSITION 7:** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces and let  $T \in L(X, Y)$ . Denote by  $B_x$  and  $B_y$  the unit balls in  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively.*

*Then:*

- (a)  $\alpha_n(T) \geq \delta_n(T(B_x))$  ( $n \geq 0$ ), and hence  $\alpha(T) \geq \delta(T(B_x)) = \tilde{\gamma}(T(B_x)) = \tilde{\gamma}(T)$ .  
 (b) *If  $Y$  is a Hilbert space, then  $\alpha_n(T) = \delta_n(T(B_x))$  ( $n \geq 0$ ), and hence  $\alpha(T) = \delta(T(B_x)) = \tilde{\gamma}(T(B_x)) = \tilde{\gamma}(T)$ .*

*Proof:* (a) from [7; 474] we have  $\tilde{\gamma}(T) = \tilde{\gamma}(T(B_x))$ . Thus from Proposition 6 we have  $\delta(T(B_x)) = \tilde{\gamma}(T(B_x)) = \tilde{\gamma}(T)$ . From [8; 148] we obtain  $\delta_n(T(B_x)) \leq \alpha_n(T)$ , and hence  $\delta(T(B_x)) \leq \alpha(T)$ .

(b) Let  $\rho > \delta_n(T(B_x))$ , then there is a  $\delta > 0$  with  $\delta_n(T(B_x)) \leq \delta < \rho$  and a subspace  $G$  of  $H$  with  $\dim(G) \leq n$  such that  $T(B_x) \subseteq \delta B_y + G$ . Let  $P: Y \rightarrow G$  the orthogonal projection. Given  $x \in B_x$  we can write  $T(x) = \delta y + z$ ,  $y \in B_y$ ,  $z \in G$ . Since  $T(x) - PT(x)$  is orthogonal to  $PT(x) - z$  we obtain  $\delta^2 \geq \|\delta y\|^2 = \|T(x) - z\|^2 = \|PT(x) - z\|^2 + \|T(x) - PT(x)\|^2 \geq \|T(x) - PT(x)\|^2$ ,  $x \in B_x$ . Thus we have  $\|T - PT\| \leq \delta$ , where  $PT \in F_n(X, Y)$ ; and hence  $\alpha_n(T) \leq \|T - PT\| \leq \delta < \rho$ . Since  $\rho$  is arbitrary we conclude that  $\alpha_n(T) \leq \delta_n(T(B_x))$ .

Since  $T \in L(X, Y)$  is compact iff  $\tilde{\gamma}(T) = 0$ , then we see that the identity  $\alpha(T) = \tilde{\gamma}(T)$  in Proposition 6 (b) is a generalization of the following well known result: Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $Y$  be Hilbert space. If  $T \in L(X, Y)$ , then  $T$  is compact iff it can be approximated, in the operator norm, by linear operators of finite rank.

INSTITUTO DE INVESTIGACIONES EN MATEMÁTICAS APLICADAS Y EN SISTEMAS. UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. E. BROWDER, *On the spectral-theory of elliptic differential operators*, Math. Ann. **142** (1961) 22-130.  
 [2] P. ENFLO, *A counterexample to the approximation problem in Banach spaces*, Acta Math. **130** (1973), 309-317.  
 [3] I. T. GOHBERG, L. S. GOLDENSTEIN and A. S. MARKUS, *Investigations of some properties of bounded linear operators in connection with their  $q$ -norms*, (Russian Uch. Zap. Kishinevsk, Un-ta, **29** (1957), 29-36.

- [4] A. N. KOLMOGOROFF, *On some asymptotic characterizations of totally bounded metric spaces*, DAN, U.S.S.R., **108** (1956), 385-388.
- [5] K. KURATOWSKI, *Sur les espaces compacts*, Fund. Math. **15** (1930), 301-309.
- [6] I. MADDAUS, *On completely continuous linear transformations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1938), 279-282.
- [7] R. D. NUSSBAUM, *The radius of the essential spectrum*, Duke Math. J. **38** (1970), 473-478.
- [8] A. PIETSCH, *Nuclear Locally Convex Spaces*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [9] K. YOSIDA, *Functional Analysis*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1971.