

## ON COMPACT SPACES WHICH ARE NOT $c$ -SPACES

BY W. SCHACHERMAYER

*Abstract:* A compact space  $K$  is not a  $c$ -space iff the space  $[0, \Omega]$  of ordinals up to the first uncountable one, noted  $\Omega$ , is homeomorphic to a subspace of a quotient of  $K$  (equivalently to a quotient of a subspace of  $K$ ).  $\beta N$ , the Stone-Čech—compactification of  $N$  furnishes an example of a compact space that is not a  $c$ -space, but such that  $[0, \Omega]$  is neither homeomorphic to a subspace nor to a quotient of  $\beta N$ .

In the second part we show that on every compact space that is not a  $c$ -space there exists a  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued  $\sigma$ -additive Borel-measure that is not outer regular (equivalently, not a Radon-measure), thus extending a famous example of such a measure on  $[0, \Omega]$ , constructed by J. Dieudonné.

### § 1

Following Archangel'skii [1], we call a topological space  $X$  a  $c$ -space, if every subset  $E$  of  $X$  that is countably closed (i.e. if  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq E$  and  $x$  is a cluster-point of  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  then  $x \in E$ ) is closed.

The prototype of a space not being a  $c$ -space is  $[0, \Omega]$ , the compact space of ordinals up to the first uncountable one, noted  $\Omega$ , equipped with the order-topology, where  $[0, \Omega[$  furnishes an example of a countably closed but non-closed subset.

The following proposition shows that compact non- $c$ -spaces are closely related to  $[0, \Omega]$ .

**PROPOSITION 1:** *For a compact Hausdorff-space  $K$  the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $K$  is not a  $c$ -space.
- (ii) There exists a closed subspace of a quotient of  $K$ , homeomorphic to  $[0, \Omega]$ .
- (ii)' There exists a quotient of a closed subspace of  $K$ , homeomorphic to  $[0, \Omega]$ .

*Proof:* The property of being a compact  $c$ -space is inherited by closed subspaces and quotients. This is completely trivial for subspaces; for quotient spaces (i.e. continuous images) assume that  $\pi: K \rightarrow K_1$  is a continuous map of a compact  $c$ -space  $K$  onto a Hausdorff space  $K_1$ .

Let  $A_1$  be a subset of  $K_1$  and  $x_1 \in \overline{A_1}$ ; then  $\pi^{-1}(x_1) \cap \overline{\pi^{-1}(A_1)}$  is not empty in  $K$ . Indeed if it were so  $\pi(\overline{\pi^{-1}(A_1)})$  would be a closed subset of  $K_1$ , containing  $A_1$  but not containing  $x_1$ .

So by assumption there exists a sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\pi^{-1}(A_1)$  such that  $\pi^{-1}(x_1) \cap \overline{\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty} \neq \emptyset$ . But then  $x_1 \in \overline{\{\pi(y_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty}$  which shows that  $K_1$  is a  $c$ -space too.

So clearly we have (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) and (ii)'  $\Rightarrow$  (i) because  $[0, \Omega]$  is not a  $c$ -space.

To show the other direction we apply an argument of [2]: suppose there exists a set  $E$  in  $K$  such that  $E$  is countably closed but not closed and let  $y_0 \in \bar{E} \setminus E$ . Countable closedness of  $E$  implies that  $E$  is semicompact (i.e. every countable open cover of  $E$  has a finite sub-cover). We define inductively a "long sequence"  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \Omega}$  of points of  $E$  and  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \Omega}$  of continuous functions of  $K$ , taking their values in  $[0, 1]$  and such that  $f_\alpha(y_0) = 1$ .

Let  $x_0$  be arbitrary in  $E$  and  $f_0: K \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f_0(x_0) = 0$  while  $f_0(y_0) = 1$ . Suppose  $\{x_\gamma\}_{\gamma < \alpha}$  and  $\{f_\gamma\}_{\gamma < \alpha}$  are chosen. Then let  $x_\alpha$  be an element in  $E$  such that  $f_\gamma(x_\alpha) = 1$  for every  $\gamma < \alpha$ . (This is possible because

$$\left\{ f_\gamma^{-1} \left( \left[ 0, 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right] \right) : \gamma < \alpha, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is a countable family of open sets. If it would cover  $E$  then already a finite subfamily would cover  $E$ ; but this is absurd, as  $y_0 \in \bar{E}$ ,  $f_\gamma(y_0) = 1 \forall \gamma < \alpha$  and the  $f_\gamma$  are continuous.) Then choose  $f_\alpha$  such that  $f_\alpha(x_\gamma) = 0 \forall \gamma \leq \alpha$  and  $f_\alpha(y_0) = 1$ . (This is possible by Tietze-Urysohn, because  $y_0$  is not in the closure of  $\{x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \leq \alpha}$ ).

After this induction has been effected, define for  $\alpha \in [0, \Omega]$  the sets

$$F_\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} \overline{\{x_\gamma\}_{\beta < \gamma \leq \alpha}},$$

and

$$F_\Omega = \bigcap_{\beta < \Omega} \overline{\{x_\gamma\}_{\beta < \gamma < \Omega}} = \bigcap_{\beta < \Omega} \overline{\{F_\gamma\}_{\beta < \gamma < \Omega}}.$$

Clearly the  $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \leq \Omega}$  are nonempty, compact, disjoint subsets of  $K$  and  $F_\alpha$  is reduced to  $\{x_\alpha\}$  if  $\alpha$  has a predecessor.

Define  $F = \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \Omega} F_\alpha$ .  $F$  is closed: indeed let  $\{Z_i\}_{i \in I} \rightarrow Z$  be a convergent net in  $K$ , such that  $Z_i \in F$ . Let, for every  $i$  be  $\alpha(i)$  the unique index such that  $i \in F_{\alpha(i)}$ . From the definition of the  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \Omega}$  it is clear that  $\{\alpha(i)\}_{i \in I}$  is a convergent net in  $[0, \Omega]$ , say it converges to a certain  $\alpha_0 \in [0, \Omega]$ .

This implies that for every  $\beta < \alpha_0$ ,  $\{Z_i\}_{i \in I}$  finally lies in the set  $\bigcup_{\beta < \gamma \leq \alpha_0} F_\gamma = \bigcup_{\beta < \gamma \leq \alpha_0} \overline{\{x_\gamma\}}$ . So  $Z = \lim Z_i$  lies in  $F_{\alpha_0}$  by the very definition of  $F_{\alpha_0}$ .

Now it is clear how to construct the spaces as in (ii) and (ii)': For (ii) define on  $K$  the equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}: x \mathcal{R} y \Leftrightarrow \exists \alpha \in [0, \Omega]$  such that  $x \in F_\alpha$  and  $y \in F_\alpha$ .

From the definition of the  $F_\alpha$  and  $f_\alpha$  one immediately sees that the quotient  $K/\mathcal{R}$  is Hausdorff. Clearly the image of  $F$  in  $K/\mathcal{R}$  is closed and it is easily verified that this is homeomorphic to  $[0, \Omega]$ .

To show (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)' let  $F$  be the closed subspace of  $K$  and define on  $F$  again the equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}$ ; then  $F/\mathcal{R}$  again is homeomorphic to  $[0, \Omega]$ .

This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

*Example:*  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ , the Stone-Čech-compactification of  $\mathbb{N}$

(a)  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  is not a  $c$ -space. Indeed  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{R}}$  is separable, so it is a continuous image

of  $\beta N$ . Also  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{R}}$  contains a copy of  $[0, \Omega]$  (take a collection  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \Omega}$  as in the proof of Proposition 1 to define such an embedding).

(b)  $\beta N$  does not have a quotient isomorphic to  $[0, \Omega]$ . Indeed every continuous image of  $\beta N$  is separable, while  $[0, \Omega]$  is not.

(c)  $\beta N$  does not contain a copy of  $[0, \Omega]$ ; in fact it does not even contain a copy of  $[0, \omega]$ ,  $\omega$  being the first infinite ordinal (*i.e.* a non-trivial convergent sequence).

Indeed suppose  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  to be a convergent sequence (to  $x_0$  say) in  $\beta N$  such that  $x_n \neq x_m$  if  $n \neq m$ . In functional-analytic language this may be stated as follows. The Dirac-measures  $\delta_{(x_n)}$ , which define simply additive measures on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $P(N)$  of all subsets of  $N$ , converge to  $\delta_{(x_0)}$  on every member of  $P(N)$ . Whence by the Vitali-Hahn-Saks-theorem, in its form for simply additive measures (see for example [3]: Theorem 1.4.8),  $\{\delta_{(x_n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  would be uniformly strongly additive, which is evidently absurd. *q. e. d.*

Hence it is really necessary in the above proposition to speak about "subspaces of quotients" (resp. "quotients of subspaces"), to get a characterization of compact non- $c$ -spaces.

## § 2

Our last result in this paper is to show that on a compact non- $c$ -space  $K$  one may always construct a  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued  $\sigma$ -additive Borel-measure which is not outer regular, in a similar fashion as J. Dieudonné did on  $[0, \Omega]$  (See, for example [5], exercise 52.10).

Although the result is, of course, related to the above characterization of compact non- $c$ -spaces, the proof is completely independent of it.

**PROPOSITION 2:** *Let  $K$  be a compact Hausdorff-space which is not a  $c$ -space. Then there is a  $\sigma$ -additive,  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued Borel-measure  $\mu$  on  $K$  which is not a Radon-measure.*

*Proof:* Let  $E$  be countably closed but not closed in  $K$  and let  $x_0 \in \bar{E} \setminus E$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an ultra-filter of closed sets in  $E$  (in its induced topology), that converges to  $x_0$ . Note again that the countable closedness of  $E$  implies that  $E$  is semicompact, *i.e.* every countable open cover of  $E$  has a finite subcover. So  $\mathcal{A}$  has the countable intersection property (*i.e.* if  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{A}$  then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_n \neq \emptyset$ ).

Let  $F$  be any closed subset of  $K$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  lies finally in  $F$  or in its complement  $F$ . Indeed suppose  $F \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $F \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\{F \cap A\}_{A \in \mathcal{A}}$  is a filter of closed subsets of  $E$  strictly finer than  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Clearly also for every open set  $G$  and for every set of the form  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcap_{j=1}^{m(i)} H_{i,j}$  where  $H_{i,j}$  are either open or closed subsets of  $K$ , we have the same property that  $\mathcal{A}$  finally lies in it or in its complement. Note that the family of the latter sets forms an algebra. Define  $\mu$  on the sets  $B$  of this algebra by

$$\mu(B) = 1 \text{ if } A \text{ lies finally in } B$$

$$\mu(B) = 0 \text{ if not.}$$

Clearly  $\mu$  is additive and if  $B_n$  is a decreasing sequence in this algebra such that  $\mu(B_n) = 1$  for every  $n$ , then there are  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $A_n \subseteq B_n$ ; as  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \neq \phi$  we get  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \neq \phi$ , which readily shows the  $\sigma$ -additivity of  $\mu$ . By the Carathéodory-procedure  $\mu$  has a  $\sigma$ -additive extension to the Borel-algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $K$ , which clearly is 0-1-valued too and will also be denoted  $\mu$ .

But  $\mu$  is not a Radon-measure: indeed  $\mu(\{x_0\}) = 0$  while for every open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  we have  $\mu(U) = 1$ ; so  $\mu$  is not outer regular.

The last proposition shows that every compact Radon-space (*i.e.* where every  $\sigma$ -additive finite Borel-measure is a Radon-measure) is a  $c$ -space. Conversely it was shown by the author [6], that every Eberlein-compact, satisfying a mild cardinality restriction, is a Radon-space, a fact which also follows from the independent work of G. Edgar [4].

But the problem to characterize topologically the class of (compact) Radon-spaces seems very hard, as is also indicated by the recent example of M. Wage [7], showing that this class is not stable under forming finite products.

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACION DEL IPN, MEXICO, D.F.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. ARCHANGEL'SKII, *General topology and its applications*, 1 (1971), p. IX.
- [2] H. H. CORSON, *The weak topology of Banach spaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **101** (1961), 1-15.
- [3] J. DIESTEL AND J. J. UHL, *Vector measures*, *Mathematical Surveys*, **15**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R. I., 1977.
- [4] G. EDGAR, *Measurability in Banach-spaces*, preprint, 1977.
- [5] P. R. HALMOS, *Measure Theory*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J.-Toronto-New York-London, 1950.
- [6] W. SCHACHERMAYER, *Eberlein-compacts et espaces de Radon*, *Ann. Sci. de l'Univ. Clermont*, **61** (1976), 129-145.
- [7] M. WAGE, *A Radon-space whose square is not Radon*, preprint, 1977.