

A NOTE ON HYPERPLANE SECTIONS OF REAL ALGEBRAIC SETS

By J. M. GAMBOA

It is well known that the set of hyperplanes which meet a non zero-dimensional algebraic set over an algebraically closed ground field contains a non-empty Zariski open set. Although a real analogue of this result, changing the Zariski topology to the order topology, can be easily proven using [6] and [7], many hyperplane sections of a non zero-dimensional algebraic set V over a real closed ground field R may well be empty, and the "size" of the set of all such hyperplanes reflects the extent of $V \subset R^n$.

We study in this note the size of the set of hyperplanes which meet V in a "good way". More precisely, let us denote by V_c the locus of central points of V , i.e., the closure, in the order topology of R^n , of the set of regular points of V . In [6] it was proven the following:

THEOREM 1. (Dubois-Recio). *There exists a finite number of linear isomorphisms of R^n such that, given a "generic" hyperplane, at least one of its transforms meets V_c .*

We state here a sharper result:

THEOREM 2. *There exists a linear isomorphism σ of R^n such that for every "generic" hyperplane H of R^n , either H meets V_c or its transform by σ meets V_c .*

Set $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_0, \dots, y_n)$ indeterminates. Let $p \subset R[x]$ be the ideal of V and $q = p \cdot R[x, y] + gR[x, y]$, where $g = y_0 + y_1x_1 + \dots + y_nx_n$ is the equation of a generic hyperplane. We call $G \subset R^n \times R^{n+1}$ the set of zeroes of q and $\pi: R^n \times R^{n+1} \rightarrow R^{n+1}$ the standard projection. It is obvious that a hyperplane $H_y: y_0 + y_1x_1 + \dots + y_nx_n = 0$ meets V if and only if $y = (y_0, \dots, y_n) \in \pi(G)$. Moreover, it was proved in 3.2 of [5] that H_y meets V_c if and only if $y \in \pi(G)$. So, theorem 2 can be reformulated in the following way:

THEOREM 2'. *Given a non zero-dimensional algebraic set $V \subset R^n$, there exists a projective automorphism σ of $R(y)$ such that $\pi(G_c) \cup \sigma(\pi(G_c))$ is dense in R^{n+1} .*

We are interested in hyperplanes meeting V_c instead of all hyperplanes meeting V because V_c plays a fundamental role in the theory of ordered function fields, in case V is irreducible. For instance, it is well known the equivalence between:

- 1) $a \in V_c$.
- 2) There is a real place of the field $R(V)$ of rational functions of V centered at a .
- 3) There is an ordering in $R(V)$ which contains all $f \in R(V)$ verifying $f(a) > 0$.

Moreover, if V is irreducible, and keeping all notations above, p and q are prime ideals and it is easy to check that $q \cap R[y] = \{0\}$. Then, let $E = R(y)$, $F = qf(R[x, y]/q)$ and $j: E \rightarrow F$ the canonical inclusion. We denote by X_E the space orderings of E .

3. *Definition.* A subset A of projective automorphisms of E is said admissible if for every $\alpha \in X_E$ there is $\sigma \in A$ such that $\sigma(\alpha)$ extends to F .

Using theorem 3.2 in [5] and the correspondence introduced in [5] and [8] between semialgebraic subsets of V and clopen (closed and open) subsets in the space of orderings of $R(V)$, the theorem 1 quoted above is equivalent to:

THEOREM 1'. *There exist finite admissible subsets (in fact with cardinality $\leq n + 1$).*

In the same paper [5] it is defined the number $D(V) =$ minimum cardinality of admissible subsets. In this language our theorem 2' states $D(V) \leq 2$.

4. **REMARK.** *This is in fact, the best bound, because $D(V) \neq 1$ if V is bounded.*

Proof: Let r be a positive element of R such that

$$V \subset \{x \in R^n: x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 < r^2\}$$

Then

$$U = \{y = (y_0, \dots, y_n) \in R^{n+1}: y_0^2 - r(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2) > 0\}$$

is a non empty open subset of R^{n+1} and $U \cap \pi(G) = \emptyset$ so $\pi(G_c)$ is not dense in R^{n+1} or equivalently, $D(V) \neq 1$.

In the geometrical language that means that σ cannot be omitted in theorem 2'. We shall see in 5 that bounded sets are not the only ones with $D(V) \neq 1$.

Proof of theorem 2'. If $\dim V > 1$, the central locus of V contains the central locus of a curve. So, it is enough to study the case $\dim V = 1$.

We shall work, first, in case R is a Cantor field, see [2], [3] and [4]. To pass to an arbitrary real closed field we shall use a trick which appears in [1].

Let us choose a regular point $O \in V$, a neighborhood U of $O \in R$ and analytic functions $f_1, \dots, f_n: U \rightarrow R$ such that $f_i(0) = 0$ and the image of

$$f: U \rightarrow R^n: t \rightarrow (f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t))$$

is contained in V_c .

Set

$$f_j(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{ij}t^i, \quad t \in U$$

and let us consider, for each

$$y = (y_0, \dots, y_n) \in R^{n+1}, \quad F_y(t) = y_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}y_j)t^i$$

From 2.11 in [1] and the usual rules of calculus it is immediate that $F_y: (-r/n, r/n) \rightarrow R$ is a well defined analytic function, r being the minimum of the radii of convergence of f_1, \dots, f_n .

Choose $t_0 \in (0, r/n)$ such that $p = (f_1(t_0), \dots, f_n(t_0)) \neq 0$, and let M be the set of $y \in R^{n+1}$ with $F_y(0) \cdot F_y(t_0) < 0$. Clearly $M \subset \pi(G_c)$ because $F_y(0) \cdot F_y(t_0) < 0$ implies the existence of $t \in (0, t_0)$ verifying

$$y_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n y_j f_j(t) = 0$$

or, equivalently, $(f(t), y) \in \pi(G_c)$.

So, it is enough to find σ such that

$$M \cup \sigma(M) = R^{n+1}$$

But M is the set of $y \in R^{n+1}$ such that H_y meets the segment joining the origin 0 with p and, after a linear change of coordinates, we can assume that $p = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$.

Then

$$M = \{y \in R^{n+1}: (y_0 + y_n)y_0 < 0\} \cup \{y \in R^{n+1}: y_0 = y_n = 0\},$$

and choosing a matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

with coefficients in R such that

$$b(b + d) < 0$$

$$a(a + c) < 2ab + ad + bc < 0,$$

the automorphism σ of $R(y)$ which fixes $y_j, 0 \neq j \neq n$ and sends (y_0, y_n) to

$$A \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

verifies $M \cup \sigma(M) = R^{n+1}$.

Otherwise, there would exist $y \in R^{n+1}$ with $(y_0, y_n) \neq (0, 0)$, such that

$$(y_0 + y_n)y_n \geq 0$$

$$(ay_0 + by_n)((a + c)y_0 + (b + d)y_n) \geq 0$$

By the choice of A we deduce that $y_0^2 + y_n^2 \leq 0$. Absurd.

We shall establish now our result for an arbitrary real closed ground field R . Obviously, we can assume V is irreducible.

Let k be the field obtained adjoining to Q all the coefficients of a set of generators of p . Then the real closure K of k with respect to $k \cap R^2$ is a Cantor field (see [3] and [4]). So, if p_K and q_K are, respectively, the ideal generated by p and q in $K[x]$ and $K[x, y]$, there exists a projective automorphism σ_K of $K(y)$ such that $\pi(G_c^K) \cup (\pi(G_c^K)) = K^{n+1}$; clearly G^K denotes the set of zeroes of q_K in $K^n \times K^{n+1}$. Using 3.2 in [5] this implies that, for a given ordering α in $K(y) = E_1$, either α or $\sigma_K(\alpha)$ extends to $F_1 = qf(K[x, y]/q_K)$. Let σ be the trivial extension of σ_K to $E = R(y)$.

To prove the density of $\pi(G_c) \cup \sigma(\pi(G_c))$ it suffices, again by 3.2 in [5], to show that either β or $\sigma(\beta)$ extends to $F = qf(R[x, y]/q)$ for every order β in E .

For this, we use Serre's criterion [9]. Let us suppose that $\alpha = \beta \cap E_1$ extends to F_1 . Then, if $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \beta$ and $h_1, \dots, h_n \in F$ verify $p_1 h_1^2 + \dots + p_n h_n^2 = 0$, we construct the field L adjoining to K the coefficients of each p_i and h_i . Since α extends to F_1 and L is a finitely generated extension of K with $K = L$ or $\text{tr.d. } L/K \geq 1$, α extends to an ordering α^* in $L(y)$ which extends to $qf(L[x, y]/q)$. So each $h_i = 0$ and β extends to F .

In case α does not extend to F_1 , we know that $\sigma_K(\alpha)$ extends to F_1 and repeating the same argument above we conclude that $\sigma(\beta)$ extends to F .

5. FINAL REMARKS

(a) As was indicated in 4, we can find unbounded set V with $D(V) = 2$. More precisely, $D(V) = 2$ for every irreducible conic. In fact it is enough to compute $D(V)$ for $V_1 = \{x_2 = x_1^2\}$ and $V_2: \{x_1 \cdot x_2 = 1\}$. But, in the first case $\pi(G_c) = \{y \in R^3: y_1 - 4y_0y_2 \geq 0\}$, and in the second one $\pi(G_c) = \{0\} \cup \{y \in R^3: y_2 = 0, y_0, y_1 \neq 0\} \cup [\{y \in R^3: y_2 \neq 0, y_0^2 - 4y_1y_2 \geq 0\} \cap (\{y_1 \neq 0\} \cup \{y_1 = 0, y_0 \neq 0\})]$.

In both cases $\pi(G_c)$ is not dense in R^3 .

(b) $D(V) = 1$ if V contains a line. It is enough to consider $V: \{(x_1, x_2) \in R^2: x_2 = 0\}$. Then $\pi(G_c) = \{y \in R^3: y_1 \neq 0\}$ is a dense subset of R^3 .

(c) $D(V)$ is not invariant under ambient algebraic isomorphism. Let us consider $F: R^2 \rightarrow R^2$ defined by $F(x, y) = (2x + \frac{1}{2}y^2, 2x + y + \frac{1}{2}y^2)$ whose inverse is $G: R^2 \rightarrow R^2: (u, v) \rightarrow (\frac{1}{4}(2u - (v - u)^2), v - u)$.

From (b) $D(V) = 1$ if $V: \{y = x\}$. However $W = F(V)$ is the irreducible conic $(y - x)(4 + y - x) - 2x = 0$ and, from (a), $D(W) = 2$.

(d) The number $D(V)$ gives a measure of the extent of the locus of central points of V . If we want to know the extent of V we must know $\Delta = \pi(G) - \pi(G_c)$. This is a "thin" set in case V is a curve, as was pointed out in 3.4 of [5]: Δ is contained in a proper algebraic subset of R^{n+1} . But the same does not hold in higher dimensions: for example, if

$$V: \{(1 - x_3^2)x_1^2 = x_1^4 + x_2^4\}$$

is the Coste-Coste Roy Balloon, Δ contains

$$\{y \in R^4: y_3 \neq 0, y_0^2 - 3(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2) > 0\}.$$

A part of this note is contained in the author's dissertation, presented at the Universidad Complutense de Madrid, which was written under the supervision of Dr. Tomás Recio.

UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE
MADRID, ESPAÑA

REFERENCES

- [1] C. ANDRADAS, Thesis, Univ. of N. Mexico (1983).
- [2] A. BUKOWSKI, Dissertation, Univ. of N. Mexico (1972).

- [3] D. W. DUBOIS, Real algebraic curves. Technical Report, **227**, Univ. of N. Mexico (1971).
- [4] ——— AND A. BUKOWSKI, *Real commutative algebra II: plane curves over Cantor fields*. *Rev. Mat. Hisp.-Amer.* **39**(1979) 149–161.
- [5] ——— AND T. RECIO, *Orderings under field extension and real algebraic geometry*. *Contemporary math.* **8**(1982), 265–288.
- [6] V. ESPINO Y T. RECIO, *Sobre la sección hiperplana genérica de una variedad algebraica real*. *Rev. Mat. Hisp.-Amer.* **39**(1979), 184–197.
- [7] T. RECIO, *Una descomposición de un conjunto semialgebraico*. *Actas V. Reunión Matemáticos de Expresión Latina*, Mallorca, 1977.
- [8] N. SCHWARTZ, *The strong topology on real algebraic varieties*. *Contemporary Math.* **8**(1982), 297–325.
- [9] J. P. SERRE, *Extensions de corps ordonnés*. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, **228**(1949), 576–577.