Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana Vol. 32 No. 1, 1987

ON DUAL ALGEBRAS AND ALGEBRAIC OPERATORS

By CARLOS HERNÁNDEZ-GARCIADIEGO AND SALVADOR PÉREZ-ESTEVA

1. Introduction

Let K be a separable complex Hilbert space of dimension less than or equal to \aleph_0 . Denoting by $C_1(K)$ the trace class of L(K) with the trace norm, we know we can identify the dual of $C_1(K)$ with L(K) by the bilinear functional

$$\langle T, S \rangle = Tr(TS), T \in L(K), S \in C_1(K).$$

A dual algebra is a subalgebra of L(K) that contains the identity and is closed in the weak^{*} topology on L(K).

If $^{\perp}A$ is the preannihilator of A in $C_1(K)$, then A is the dual space of $Q = C_1(K)/^{\perp}A$ (Bercovici et al [1] Prop 1.19). The duality is given by

$$\langle S, [L] \rangle = Tr(SL), S \in A, [L] \in Q,$$

where [L] is the class Q of an element $L \in C_1(K)$.

If A is a dual algebra, $M_n(A)$ is the subalgebra of $L(K^n)$ whose elements are $n \times n$ matrices with entries in A. By Bercovici et al ([1].2.2), $M_n(A)$ is a dual algebra and its predual $Q_{M_n}(A)$ can be identified with the space $M_n(Q_A)$ of matrices with entries in $Q_{A'}$ where Q_A denotes the quotient $C_1(K)/{}^{\perp}A$.

We denote by A_T the dual algebra generated by $T \in L(K)$.

If x, y are vectors in K, and we denote by $x \otimes y$ the rank-one operator defined by

$$(x \otimes y)(u) = \langle u, y \rangle x, \ u \in K.$$

For each $A \in A$, we have

$$\langle A, [x \otimes y] \rangle = Tr(A(x \otimes y)) = \langle Ax, y \rangle.$$

Scott Brown [2] showed that for some subnormal operator T, the predual Q_T of A_T consists enterely of elements of the form $[L] = [x \otimes y]$. Many results on invariant subspaces were originated by this idea.

We say that an operator T is algebraic if P(T) = 0 for some polynomial P.

Definition 1. ([1] 2.01) Let $A \subset L(K)$ be a dual algebra and let n be a cardinal number such that $1 \le n \le \aleph_0$. Then A is to be said to have property (\mathbf{A}_n) provided every $n \times n$ system of simultaneous equations of the form

$$[x_i \otimes y_j] = [\mathbf{L}_{ij}], \ 0 \le i, j < n$$

(where the $[L_{ij}]$ are arbitrary fixed elements from Q_A) has solution $\{x_i\}_{0 \le i < n}$, $\{y_1\}_{0 \le i < n}$ consisting of a pair of sequences of vectors from K.

The main objective of this paper is to characterize the algebraic operators which have property (A_n) , but not property (A_{n+1}) .

2. Main Theorem

THEOREM 1. Let $T \in L(K)$ be an algebraic operator whose minimal polynomial is $P(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)^{m_1} \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_S)^{m_S}$, let $K_i = \ker(T - \lambda_i)^{m_i}$ and $r_i = \dim(K_i \oplus (T - \lambda_i)^{m_i-1}(K_i))$. If $n = \min\{r_1, \ldots, r_S\} < \infty$, then A_T has property (\mathbf{A}_n) and not property (\mathbf{A}_{n+1}) . In particular this is true for every operator on a finite dimensional space.

We will give some preliminary results before proving the theorem.

Definition 2. Let A be a subalgebra of L(K) and let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a subset of K. Then $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ will be said to be A-independent if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n T_i x_i = 0, \ T_i \in A$$

implies $T_i = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, n$.

If $x \in K$ and A is an algebra, write $Ax = \{TX : T \in A\}$.

LEMMA 1. Suppose that $T \in L(K)$ is nilpotent of order m, and $r = \dim(\ker T^{m-1})^{\perp} < \infty$, then there is noA_T-independent subset of K with r + 1 vectors.

Proof. Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{r+1}\} \subset K$, we can write $K = \text{Ker } T^{m-1} \oplus (\text{Ker } T^{m-1})^{\perp}$, so $x_i = y_i + z_i$ with $y_i \in \text{Ker } T^{m-1}$ and $z_i \in (\text{Ker } T^{m-1})^{\perp}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, r+1$.

 $\{z_1, \ldots, z_{r+1}\}$ is linearly dependent, so there exist scalars $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{r+1}$, not all equal to zero, such that

$$\alpha_1 z_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{r+1} z_{r+1} = 0$$

so

$$\alpha_1 T^{m-1} x_1 + \dots + \alpha_{r+1} T^{m-1} x_{r+1} = 0$$

Thus $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{r+1}\}$ is A_T -dependent.

LEMMA 2. Let A be a dual algebra of L(K) and let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be an Aindependent subset of K. Suppose $B = Ax_1 + \cdots + Ax_n$ is closed in K. Then A has property (A_n) .

Proof. Let $\overline{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in K^n$, then

$$M_n(A)\overline{x} = \{(y_1,\ldots,y_n): y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n T_{ij}x_j, \ T_{ij} \in A\} = B \oplus B \oplus \cdots \oplus B$$

is closed in K^n because B is closed in K. Let $\tilde{T} = (T_{ij}) \in M_n(A)$ and suppose $\tilde{T}(\bar{x}) = 0$, then $\sum_{j=1}^n T_{ij} x_j = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, ..., n$.

Since $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is A-independent, we have $T_{ij} = 0$, for $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$; thus, $\tilde{T} = 0$. By Bercovici et al ([3], 2.06), $M_n(A)$ has property (A₁) and by ([3], 2.3) A has property (A_n).

COROLLARY 1. Let $T \in L(K)$ be such that $T^m = 0$ and $T^{m-1} \neq 0$. If $n = \dim (\operatorname{Ker} T^{m-1})^{\perp}$ then there exists an A_T -independent set of n vectors. Hence A_T has property (\mathbf{A}_n) .

Proof. Since T is nilpotent of order m, then A_T is the linear span of $\{I, T, T^2, \ldots, T^{m-1}\}$. Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a basis for $K \ominus \text{Ker } T^{m-1}$. It is well known that the set

$$\{x_1, \ldots, x_n, Tx_1, \ldots, Tx_n, \ldots, T^{m-1}x_1, \ldots, T^{m-1}x_n\}$$

is linearly independent. Therefore $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is A_T -independent. Since A_T is finite dimensional, we trivially have that $A_T x_1 + \cdots + A_T x_n$ is closed in K. Then by lemma 2, A_T has property (A_n) .

LEMMA 3. let K_1 and K_2 be Hilbert spaces and $T = S \oplus 0 \in L(K_1 \oplus K_2)$. A_T has property (\mathbf{A}_n) if and only if A_S has the same property.

Proof. Observe that if $x_i = r_i \oplus s_i$, $y_j = w_j \oplus z_j$, where $r_i, w_j \in K_1$ and $s_i, z_j \in K_2$, then $\langle Tx_i, y_j \rangle = \langle Sr_i, w_j \rangle$. Now the result follows by some straight forward computation.

LEMMA 4. Let $S \in L(K)$ be a nilpotent operator of order 2. If dim $(K \ominus S(K)) = r$ then A_S does not have property (\mathbf{A}_{r+1}) .

Proof. Since $S^2 = 0$, $A_S = \{\alpha I + \beta S : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}\}$; then Q_S has dimension 2, and is generated by the functionals

$$arphi_1(lpha I+eta S)=lpha, \ arphi_2(lpha I+eta S)=eta.$$

Notice that $[x \otimes y] = \varphi_1$ if and only if

$$\begin{array}{l} < x,y > = < Ix, y > = \varphi_1(I) = 1, \\ < Sx, y > = \varphi_1(S) = 0; \\ \text{and } [x \otimes y] = \varphi_2 \text{ if and only if} \\ < x, y > = < Ix, y > = \varphi_2(I) = 0, \\ < Sx, y > = \varphi_2(S) = 1. \end{array}$$

Hence

 $[x \otimes y] = \varphi_2 \text{ implies } [Sx \otimes y] = \varphi_1,$ $[x \otimes y] = \varphi_1 \text{ implies } [Sx \otimes y] = 0.$

HERNÁNDEZ-GARCIADIEGO AND PÉREZ-ESTEVA

Consider the $(r + 1) \times (r + 1)$ system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_i \otimes y_i] = \varphi_1, & i = 1, \dots, r+1, \\ & [x_i \otimes y_{i+1 \pmod{r+1}}] = \varphi_2 & i = 1, \dots, r+1, \\ & [x_i \otimes y_j] = 0, & \text{for the remaining } j\text{'s.} \end{aligned}$$

Since all the columns of the system are different, all the x_i 's are distinct and the same can be said about the y_i 's.

By lemma 1, $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{r+1}\}$ is A_S -dependent, so there exists $\{S_i = \alpha_i I + \beta_i S\}_{1 \le i \le r+1}$ not all of them zero such that

$$z = S_1 x_1 + \cdots + S_{r+1} x_{r+1} = 0,$$

where in particular

$$[z \otimes y_j] = 0, \ j+1, \ldots, r+1;$$

but

 $[z \otimes y_j] = \alpha_{j-1}\varphi_2 + \beta_{j-1}\varphi_1 + \alpha_j\varphi_1,$

where j - 1 must be understood as $j - 1 \pmod{r+1}$.

Thus

$$\alpha_{j-1} = 0,$$

$$\beta_{j-1} + \alpha_j = 0.$$

This contradicts the fact that some S_j is not zero. Therefore the system cannot be solved and A_S does not have property (A_{r+1}) .

Proof of the Theorem 1. T is similar to $T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_S$ operating on $K_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_S$ where each T_i has a unique eigenvalue λ_i and each $(T_i - \lambda_i)$ is nilpotent of order m_i . By corollary 1 and its proof, a basis $\{x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{ir_i}\}$ of $K_i \oplus \text{Ker}(T_i - \lambda_i)^{m_i - 1}$ is a system of $r_i A_{(T_i - \lambda_i)}$ -independent vectors and hence A_T -independent. Let $B_i = \{x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{in}\}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, s)$ be a subset of n vectors from such a system.

Let $B = \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ where $y_j = (x_{ij}, \ldots, x_{sj})$ $(j = 1, \ldots, n)$. We shall see that B is A_T -independent.

Assume that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_j(T) y_j = 0;$$

then for $i = 1, \ldots, s$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n P_j(T_i)x_{ij}=0.$$

4

By our choice of the x_{ij} 's it follows that

$$P_i(t_i) = 0$$
 for $j = 1, ..., n$ $i = 1, ..., s$.

From lemma 2, it follows that A_T has property (A_n) .

Now we prove that A_T does not have property (\mathbf{A}_{n+1}) . Without loss of generality, suppose $n = r_1$, and let $Q(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)^{m_1 - 1}$ $(\lambda - \lambda_2)^{m_2} \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_s)^{m_s}$;

$$Q(T) = \begin{pmatrix} Q(T_1) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
,

where

$$Q(T_1) = (T_1 - \lambda_1)^{m_1 - 1} \cdots (T_1 - \lambda_S)^{m_S} = (T_1 - \lambda_1)^{m_1 - 1} W,$$

W being an invertible operator which commutes with T_1 . $Q(T_1)$ is nilpotent of order 2, and dim $(K_1 \ominus Q(T_1)(K_1)) = n$. From Lemmas 3 and 4 it follows that $A_{Q(T)}$ does not have property (A_{n+1}) . Since $A_{Q(T)}$ is a subalgebra of A_T , ([1] 2.04) indicates that A_T does not have that property either.

Remark. In Barria et al [4] it is proved that if $T \in T(H)$ is algebraic, the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied if and only if every system of equations $[L_{ij}] = [x_i \otimes y_j] \ 1 \le i, \ j \le n$ can be solved, where each L_{ij} has finite rank (Property $\mathbf{B}_{n,n}$).

Since A_T has finite dimension the topologies weak* and WOT coincide, then, from ([3] 1.7), properties $(\mathbf{B}_{n,n})$ and (\mathbf{A}_n) are equivalent

Acknowledgment. We wish to thank Domingo Herrero for his comments and suggestions.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO MÉXICO, D.F. 04510 MÉXICO

References

- H. BERCOVICI, C. FOIAS AND C. PEARCY, Dual Algebras with Applications to Invariant Subspaces and Dilation Theory, CBMS-NSF, Regional Concrence Series in Mathematics, 56, 1985.
- [2] S. BROWN, Some invariant subspaces for subnormal operators, Integral Equations and Operator Theory 1, (1978) 310-333.
- [3] H. BERCOVICI, C. FOIAS AND C. PEARCY, Dilation theory and systems of simultaneous equations in the predual of an operator algebra I. Michigan Math. J. (1983), 335-354.
- [4] J. BARRIA, H.W. KIM AND C. PEARCY, Algebraic operators, reflexivity and the properties (B_{m,n}). Preprint.
- [5] D. HADWIN AND E. NORDGREN, Subalgebras of reflexive algebras, J. Operator Theory 7 (1982), 2-23.