ON SEQUENTIAL BARRELLEDNESS WITHOUT LOCAL CONVEXITY CONDITIONS

BY L. M. SANCHEZ Ruiz

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper the word *space* will satnd for any Hausdorff topological vector space. Let us recall some classes of locally convex spaces with sequential barrelledness conditions: a space is \aleph_0 -barrelled, [3], if every \aleph_0 barrel, i.e. every barrel which is the intersection of a sequence of closed absolutely convex neighborhoods of the origin, is a neighborhood of the origin; a space $E(r)$ has property (L) , [4], if for every increasing sequence of absolutely convex sets $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ in *E* such that each $x \in E$ is absorbed by some A_n , τ is the finest locally convex topology on *^E*agreeing with the topology induced on each A_n ; and a space E is dual locally complete, [5], if $E'(\sigma(E', E))$ is locally complete, that is if every $\sigma(E', E)$ -bounded closed absolutely convex subset of *E'* is a Banach disk, holding

barrelled $\Rightarrow \aleph_0$ -barrelled \Rightarrow property $(L) \Rightarrow$ dual locally complete.

Clearly, if a space E has property (L) the E is the strict inductive limit of any increasing sequence of subspaces covering *E* and this is also true when *E* is a Mackey dual locally complete space [6]. This property, shared by these classes of locally convex spaces, suggested in [2] the definition of weakly barrelled spaces as those characterized by enjoying it.

The aim of this paper is to study the class of topological vector spaces E , without local convexity conditions, characterized by being the strict \mathcal{L} inductive limit of any increasing sequence of subspaces that cover *E.*

Our notation follows [1]. A sequence $(U_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of absorbing balanced subsets in a space $E(\tau)$ is called a string if $U_{n+1} + U_{n+1} \subset U_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, and if each U_n is closed (a neighborhood of the origin) in $E(r)$ then the string is said to be closed (topological). A space is $\mathcal L$ -barrelled if each closed string is topological, and a space is countably $\mathcal L$ -barrelled if each string that is the intersection of a sequence of closed topological strings is topological. Clearly each $\mathcal L$ -barrelled space is countably $\mathcal{L}\text{-barrelated space}$. Given a sequence of balanced subsets $\sigma := (B_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in a space $E(\tau)$ such that $B_n + B_n \subset B_{n+1} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E =$ $\bigcup \{ B_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \}, \tau_\sigma$ will denote the finest linear topology on *E* inducing on each B_n the same topology as τ .

Given a family of spaces $\{E_i : i \in \mathbb{I}\}\$ and a subset *J* of *I*, $E(J)$ will denote the subspace of $E := \prod \{ E_i : i \in \mathbb{I} \}$, formed by all those elements whose coordinates positions indexed by $\mathbb{I}\backslash J$ are null.

2. Weakly $\mathcal{L}\text{-}b$ arrelled spaces

Definition. We shall say a space E is weakly \mathcal{L} -barrelled if E is the strict £.-inductive limit of any increasing sequence of subspaces that covers *E.*

Each countably $\mathcal L$ -barrelled space is weakly $\mathcal L$ -barrelled, [1, §16.(8)]. The \mathcal{L} -inductive limit of countably many locally convex spaces is locally convex, [1, §4.(6)], furthermore a locally convex space E is weakly $\mathcal L$ -barrelled if and only if E is weakly barrelled. So, every weakly barrelled space is weakly \mathcal{L} barrelled. However, any non locally convex \mathcal{L} -barrelled space provides an example of a weakly $\mathcal L$ -barrelled space which is not weakly barrelled.

It is easy to check that if $\omega^{(N)}$ denotes the topological direct sum of at most countably many copies of ω , E is a weakly $\mathcal L$ -barrelled space and f a linear mapping of *E* into Φ or $\omega^{(N)}$ with closed graph, then f is continuous.

PROPOSITION (1). A space $E(r)$ is weakly $\mathcal L$ -barrelled if and only if E is the *£-inductive limit of any increasing sequence of dosed subspaces that covers E.*

Proof. We just have to show this proposition in one way. Let $\sigma := \{E_n : n \in E\}$ N} be an increasing sequence of subspaces that covers *E* and let *A* be a linear mapping of $E(\tau)$ into an (F) -space F , such that each of its restrictions A_n to E_n is continuous. If we show that *A* is continuous then, by [1,§16.(2)], $\tau = \tau_{\sigma}$ and the proof will be concluded. Let v_n be the unique continuous extensions of A_n to $\overline{E_n}$. Clearly, $v_{n+1}|_{\overline{E_n}} = v_n$. In fact, v_{n+1} and v_n are continuous on *En* and coincide on E_n since $v_{n+1}|_{E_n} = v_n|_{E_n} = A_n$. Let $v : E \to F$ be the linear mapping such that $v|_{\overline{F}} = v_n$. Now the restriction of v to each E_n is continuous. If $\bar{\sigma}$ denotes the sequence $\{\overline{E_n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then $\tau = \tau_{\bar{\sigma}}$ and v is continuous on E. Since if $x \in A$, there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in E_p$, so $v(x) = v_p(x) = A_p(x) = A(x)$ and $v = A$. Therefore *A* is continuous on *E*. \Box

PROPOSITION (2). Let F be a dense subspace of $E(\tau)$. If F is weakly \mathcal{L} *barrelled then E is weakly £-barrelled.*

Proof. Let $\sigma := \{E_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an increasing sequence of closed subspaces that covers *E*. Then $\sigma^* := \{ G_n := E_n \cap F, n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ is an increasing sequence of closed subspaces covering *F*. Let $\hat{\tau}$ be the topology induced by τ on *F*, we have $\hat{\tau} = \hat{\tau}_{\sigma^*}.$ By [1, §16.(11)], $E = \bigcup \{G_n': n \in \mathbb{N}\}\ \text{and if }\sigma^* := \{G_n': n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ then $\tau = \tau_{\overline{as}}$. Furthermore, since $G_n' = E_n \cap F' \subset E_n$, $\tau_{\sigma} \subset \tau_{\overline{as}}$, i.e. $\tau \subset \tau_{\sigma} \subset$ $r_{\sigma^*} = r$. Therefore $r = r\sigma$ and $E(r)$ is weakly \mathcal{L} -barrelled.

The following result generalizes the one obtained in [6] for Mackey dual locally complete spaces, being the version without convexity conditions of [2, Proposition 3].

PROPOSITION (3). *Let F be a subspace of E of countable codimension. If* $E(\tau)$ is weakly $\mathcal L$ -barrelled then F is weakly $\mathcal L$ -barrelled.

Proof. Let $\sigma := \{F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ be an increasing sequence of subspaces of *F* that covers *F* and let $B := \{x_i : i \in \mathbb{I}\}$ be a cobasis of $\bigcup \{\overline{F_n}^E : n \in \mathbb{N}\},\$ where the cardinal of *I* satisfies $^* \mathbb{I} \leq \aleph_0$. Let $\{L_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\)$ be an increasing sequence of subspaces of E , where each L_n is the linear span of n elements of *B* (if $\#\mathbb{I} = m < \aleph_0$, take $L_n = [B] \ \forall n \geq m$), and define $L := \bigcup \{L_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

Let $G_n := \overline{F_n}^E \oplus_t L_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $E = \bigcup \{G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ and, if σ^* : $(G_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, $\tau = \tau_\sigma$ • since *E* is weakly *L*-barrelled. On the other hand $F(\hat{\tau}_\sigma\cdot)$ has a base of neighborhoods of the origin formed by \hat{r} -closed subsets, [1, §16.(4)]. Thus let $\mathcal{U} = (U_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be a $\hat{\tau}$ -closed string in *F* such that $(U_m \cap F_n)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a topological string in $F_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(U_m \cap F_n^-)_{m=1}^\infty$ is a ϵ topological string in $\overline{F_n}^E$ and, since $\overline{U_m \cap F_n}^E \subset \overline{U_m}^E \cap \overline{F_n}^E, (\overline{U_m}^E \cap \overline{F_n}^E)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a topological string in $\overline{F_n}^E$ and $(\overline{U_m}^E \cap \overline{F_n}^E + L_n)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a topological string in G_n . Therefore, $(\overline{U_m}^E + L)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a topological string in $E(r_{\sigma^*}) = E(r)$. Then $(\overline{U_m}^E \cap F = U_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a topological string in $F(\hat{r})$, that is $\hat{r} = \hat{r}_{\sigma}$ and F is weakly $\mathcal L$ -barrelled. \Box

PROPOSITION (4). Let $E(\tau)$ be the *L*-inductive limit of the spaces $\{E_i(\tau_i):$ $i \in \mathbb{I}$, with respect to $\{A_i : i \in \mathbb{I}\}\$. If each $E_i(\tau_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{I}$ is weakly $\mathcal{L}\text{-}barrelled$, *then E is weakly* $\mathcal{L}\text{-}barrelled$ *.*

Proof. Let $\sigma := \{F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an increasing sequence of subspaces of E that covers E and let $G_n^{\bullet} := A_i^{-1}(F_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \mathbb{I}$. Now $\sigma^i := \{G_n^{\bullet}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ $\mathbb{N}\}$ is an increasing sequence of subspaces of $E_i(\tau_i)$ that covers $E_i(\tau_i)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{I}$ and, therefore, $\tau_i = (\tau_i)_{\sigma}$; $\forall i \in \mathbb{I}$ since each $E_i(\tau_i)$ is weakly \mathcal{L} -barrelled.

Let now $\mathcal{U} = (U_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be a topological string in $E(\tau_{\sigma})$. If $A_{i,n}$ denotes the restriction of A_i to G_n^i , τ_n the topology induced by τ on F_n and $\tau_{i,n}$ the topology induced by τ_i on G_n^i $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall i \in \mathbb{I}$ then $(U_m \cap F_n)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a topological string *Fn(rn)* for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and, since $A_i^{-1}(U_m) \cap G_n^i = A_i^{-1}(U_m) \cap A_i^{-1}(F_n) \supset$ $A_{i,n}^{-1}(U_m \cap F_n)$ $\forall n,m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall i \in \mathbb{I}$, then $(A_i^{-1}(U_m) \cap G_n^i)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ happens to be a topological string in $G_n^i(\tau_{i,n})$ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall i \in \mathbb{I}$. Finally, since $E_i(\tau_i)$ is weakly L-barrelled, $(A_i^{-1}(U_m))_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a topological string in $E_i(\tau_i)$ $\forall i \in \mathbb{I}$. Therefore U is a topological string in $E(r)$, that is $r = r_{\sigma}$ and E is weakly \mathcal{L} -barrelled.

COROLLARY (1). Let ${E_i(\tau_i) : i \in \mathbb{I}}$ be a non empty family of weakly \mathcal{L} *barrelled spaces. Then* $E := \bigoplus \{E_i(\tau_i) : i \in \mathbb{I}\}\$ is weakly $\mathcal{L}\text{-}barrelled.$

COROLLARY (2). *The topological product of finitely many weakly £-barrelled spaces is weakly* $\mathcal{L}\text{-}barrelled$ *.*

COROLLARY (3). Let F be a closed subspace of E. If E is weakly $\mathcal{L}\text{-}barrelled$ *then E/F is weakly £-barrelled.*

In order to show that any topological product of weakly \mathcal{L} -barrelled spaces is weakly \mathcal{L} -barrelled, we shall prove the following Lemma suggested by [7] Ch. 1§2.1(15)]. Let us recall a subset of the vector space E is semibalanced if $\lambda x \in M$ for each $x \in M$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

LEMMA (1). Let ${E_i(\tau_i) : i \in \mathbb{I}}$ *be a non empty family of spaces,* $E(\tau) = \prod {E_i(\tau_i) : i \in \mathbb{I}}$ *and let* $A = {B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}}$ *be a family of closed semibalanced subsets of E that covers E, then there exists a finite subset* $J \subset I$ *and a positive integer k such that* $B_k \supset E(I \setminus J)$.

Proof. Given $M \subset I$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}$, if $\bigoplus \{E(\{i\}) : i \in M\} \subset B$ then $E(M) \subset B$ since $\bigoplus \{E(\{i\}): i \in M\}$ is dense in $E(M)$ and B is closed. Assume the Lemma is false, then $\bigoplus \{E({i}) : i \in I\} \not\subset B_1$, and there is a finite subset $J_{1,1} \subset \mathbb{I}$ such that $\bigoplus \{E(\{i\}) : i \in J_{1,1}\} \not\subset B_1$ and $\bigoplus \{E(\{i\}) : i \in \mathbb{N}, J_{1,1}\} \not\subset B_1$. So there is a finite subset $J_{1,2} \subset \mathbb{N} \setminus J_{1,1}$ such that $\bigoplus \{E({i}) : i \in J_{1,2}\} \not\subset B_1$ and $\bigoplus \{E({i}) : i \in J_{1,2}\}$ $i \in \mathbb{N}(J_{1,1} \cup J_{1,2}) \not\subset B_1$. In this way we can find a sequence $\{J_{1,p} : p \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ of pairwise disjoint finite subsets of I such that $\bigoplus \{E(\{i\}) : i \in J_{1,p}\} \not\subset B_1$. By recurrence, given the sequences $\{J_{r,p} : p \in \mathbb{N}\}\)$ of pairwise disjoint finite subsets of I such that $\bigoplus \{E(\{i\}) : i \in J_{r,p}\} \not\subset B_r$, for $r \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}, p \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find a sequence $\{J_{n+1,p} : p \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of pairwise disjoint finite subsets of \mathbb{I} such that $J_{n+1,p} \cap J_{r,q} = \emptyset$ for $r, q \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and $\bigoplus \{E(\{i\}) : i \in J_{n+1,p}\} \not\subset$ B_{n+1} , $\forall p \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$, take $x_{n,p} \in \bigoplus \{E(\{i\}) : i \in J_{n,p}\}\backslash B_n$, set $L_{n,p} := [x_{n,p}]$ and L the closure of $[\{x_{n,p} : n, p \in \mathbb{N}\}]$, endowed with the topology induced by *E. L* is an (F) -space since the $E({i})$ that contain some non null coordinate of some $x_{n,p}$ are countably many, and each one of them contains at most finitely many $x_{n,p}$. Then for each $i \in \mathbb{I}$, the linear span of the $x_{n,p}$ contained in $E({i})$ is an (F) -space. So L is topologically isomorphic to $\prod\{L_{n,p}: n,p \in \mathbb{N}\},$ which is an (F) -space. Therefore, since $L = \bigcup\{B_m \cap L :$ $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is some $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B_q \cap L$ has an interior point x in L. Hence there is some finite subset $p \subset \mathbb{I}$ and a neighborhood of the origin U_i in *E_i* for each $i \in P$; thus if $U_i := E_i$ $\forall i \in \mathbb{I}\backslash P$ then $(x + \prod\{U_i : i \in \mathbb{I}\}) \cap L \subset B_q$. Now, if from $\{J_{q,p} : p \in \mathbb{N}\}$ we take some $J_{q,m}$ disjoint with P then $x + nx_{q,m} \in$ $B_q \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and, since B_q is semibalanced $(x/n) + x_{q,m} \in B_q \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $x_{q,m} \in B_q$ since the set is closed which contradicts the selection of the $x_{n,p}$.

THEOREM (1). Let ${E_i(\tau_i) : i \in \mathbb{I}}$ be a non empty family of weakly \mathcal{L} *barrelled spaces. Then* $E(\tau) := \prod \{E_i : i \in \mathbb{I}\}\$ is weakly $\mathcal{L}\text{-}barrelled.$

Proof. Let $\sigma := \{F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an increasing sequence of closed subspaces of E that covers E. We have to show that $\tau_{\sigma} = \tau$. Assume $\mathcal{U} = (U_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a topologial string in $E(\tau_{\sigma})$, then $(U_m \cap F_n)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a topological string in \bar{F}_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the previous Lemma, there exists some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and some finite subset $J \subset \mathbb{I}$ such that $F_k \supset E(\mathbb{I}\setminus J)$ and, consequently, $(U_m \cap E(\mathbb{I}\setminus J))_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a topological string in $E(\mathbb{I}\backslash J)$.

On the other hand, $E(J) \cong \prod \{ E_i(\tau_i) : i \in J \}$ is weakly *L*-barrelled since it is the product of finitely many weakly \mathcal{L} -barrelled spaces. Therefore, since $E(J) = \bigcup \{E(J) \cap F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}(U_m \cap E(J) \cap F_n)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a topological string in $E(J) \cap F_n$, $(U_m \cap E(J))_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a topological string in *E(J).* Finally since $U_m \supset U_{m+1} + U_{m+1} \supset (U_{m+1} \cap E(J)) + (U_{m+1} \cap E(\mathbb{I}\setminus J)),$ we obtain that $\mathcal{U} = (U_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a topological string in $E(\tau)$ and $\tau = \tau_{\sigma}$.

LEMMA (2). *Let Ebe a weakly £-barrelled space and Fa closed subspace of countable codimension. If G is an algebraic complement of F in E, then G is a topological complement of F.*

Proof. Follow the same argument as in [2, Lemma]. □

Finally, we show the following property also holds for weakly $\mathcal L$ -barrelled spaces.

THEOREM (2). Let $E(\tau)$ be a weakly $\mathcal{L}\text{-}barreiled space$. If F is a closed sub*space of codimension* \aleph_0 *then* $E \cong F \times \Phi$.

Proof. Let $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ be a cobasis of F in E, $L_n := \{ \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $L := \bigcup \{L_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$. We shall prove that E is the topological direct sum of F and L , the latter being endowed with the finest locally convex topology.

Let *V* be an absorbing absolutely convex subset of L. Let τ^* be the topology on *E* which has as a base of neigborhoods of the origin in *E* all sets $U \cap W$, where *U* are knots of the topological strings in $E(r)$ and *W* are sets $F + (1/2)^m V, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Clearly, $\tau \subset \tau^*$, but both topologies coincide on F and, F being of finite codimension in $G_n := F \oplus_t L_n \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \tau|_{G_n} = \tau^*|_{G_n}$. Thus if we define $\sigma := (G_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, since $E(\tau)$ is weakly \mathcal{L} -barrelled, then $\tau = \tau_{\sigma} = (\tau^*)_{\sigma} \supset \tau^*$ and, consequently, $r = r^*$. Therefore, there is some neigborhood of the origin in *E*(r), say *O*, such that $O \subset F + V$, that is $O \cap L \subset V$ and *V* is a neighborhood of the origin in *L.* Hence Lis endowed with the finest locally convex topology. Finally, since *L* is a countable dimensional algebraic complement of $F, E =$ $F \oplus_t L$. \Box

EUITI-DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA 46071 VALENCIA (SPAIN)

REFERENCES

- [l] N. ADASH, B. ERNST AND D. KEIM, "Topological Vector Spaces. The theory without convexity conditions", Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1978.
- [2] J.C. FERRANDO AND L. M. SANCHEZ Rutz, *On sequential barrelledness,* Arch. Math. 57 (1991), 597-605.
- [3] T. HUSAIN, *Two new classes of locally convex spaces*, Math. Ann. 166 (1966), 289-299.
- [4] W. RuESS, *Generalized inductive limit topologies and barrelledness properties*, Pac. J. Math. **63,** 2 (1976), 499-516.
- [5] M. VALDIVIA, *Mackey convergence and the closed gmph theorem,* Arch. Math. 25 (1974), 649-656.
- [6] \longrightarrow , *On quasi-completeness and sequential completeness in locally convex spaces, J.* reine angew. Math. 276 (1975), 190-199.
- [7] ---, "Topics in Locally Convex Spaces", North-Holland. Math. Studies 67, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford 1982.